arXiv:math-ph/0402015v4 13 Nov 2004

“Real doubles” of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds

Vasilisa Shramchenko

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University
7141 Sherbrooke West, Montreal H4B 1R6, Quebec, Canada
e-mail: vasilisa@mathstat.concordia.ca

Abstract. New Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces are found. A Hurwitz space is considered as a real manifold; therefore the number of coordinates is twice as large as the number of coordinates on Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds of Dubrovin. Simple branch points of a ramified covering and their complex conjugates play the role of canonical coordinates on the constructed Frobenius manifolds. Corresponding solutions to WDVV equations and G-functions are obtained.

1 Introduction

Frobenius manifolds were introduced by B. Dubrovin [4] as a geometric interpretation of the Witten - Dijkgraaf - E.Verlinde - H.Verlinde (WDVV) equations from two-dimensional topological field theory [2, 17].

The theory of Frobenius manifolds is related to various branches of mathematics: the theory of singularities – some ingredients of a Frobenius manifold had long existed on the base space of the universal unfolding of a hypersurface singularity. Besides singularity theory, Frobenius manifold structures have been found on cohomology spaces of smooth projective varieties (the theory of Gromov-Witten invariants); on extended moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau manifolds; on orbit spaces of Coxeter groups, extended affine Weil groups and Jacobi groups; and on Hurwitz spaces (see the references in [5, 14]).

The aim of the present work is to construct a new class of semisimple (vector algebra on any tangent space has no nilpotents) Frobenius manifolds associated with Hurwitz spaces. The dimension of Dubrovin's Frobenius manifolds on Hurwitz spaces is equal to the complex dimension of the Hurwitz space. In this paper we build Frobenius structures of a double dimension on the real Hurwitz space. We consider the Hurwitz space as a real manifold, i.e. we complement the set of its usual local coordinates by the set of their complex conjugates. We call new Frobenius manifolds the “real doubles” of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds of Dubrovin (in some cases the prepotential of a “real double” is real-valued, however this is not always the case).

We start with a construction of a family of Darboux-Egoroff (flat potential diagonal) metrics on a real Hurwitz space in genus greater than zero. The Hurwitz space we consider is the space of coverings $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ of $\mathbb{C}P^1$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$, λ is a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{L}$ with simple finite critical points $P_1, \dots, P_L$ and possibly with critical points at infinity. The real Hurwitz space has local coordinates $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L; \bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_L\}$, where $\lambda_i = \lambda(P_i)$. The Darboux-Egoroff metrics on this space are written in terms of the Schiffer

$\Omega(P,Q)$ and Bergman $B(P,\bar{Q})$ kernels on a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$. These kernels are defined by [8]:

$$\Omega(P,Q) = W(P,Q) - \pi \sum_{i,j=1}^{g} (\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})^{-1}_{ij}\omega_i(P)\omega_j(Q) \ ,$$

$$B(P,\bar{Q}) = \pi \sum_{i,j=1}^{g} (\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})^{-1}_{ij}\omega_i(P)\overline{\omega_j(Q)} \ ,$$

where $W(P,Q) = d_P d_Q \log E(P,Q)$ is the canonical bidifferential of the second kind on $\mathcal{L}$; $E(P,Q)$ is the prime form; $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^{g}$ are holomorphic differentials on $\mathcal{L}$ normalized with respect to a given canonical basis of cycles by $\oint_{a_i}\omega_j = \delta_{ij}$; and $\mathbb{B}$ is the symmetric matrix of their b-periods: $\mathbb{B}_{ij} = \oint_{b_i}\omega_j$.

The kernels can equivalently be characterized as follows [8]. The Schiffer kernel is the bidifferential with a singularity of the form $(x(P)-x(Q))^{-2}dx(P)dx(Q)$ along the diagonal $P=Q$ such that $p.v.\iint_{\mathcal{L}}\Omega(P,Q)\,\overline{\omega(P)} = 0$ holds for any holomorphic differential ω on the surface. The Bergman kernel is a regular bidifferential on $\mathcal{L}$ holomorphic with respect to its first argument and antiholomorphic with respect to the second one. It is (up to a factor of $2\pi i$) a kernel of an integral operator acting in the space $L_2^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{L})$ of (1,0)-forms as an orthogonal projector onto the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{L})$ of holomorphic (1,0)-forms. In particular, for any holomorphic differential ω on the surface $\mathcal{L}$ the following relation holds: $\iint_{\mathcal{L}} B(P,\bar{Q})\ \omega(Q) = 2\pi i\omega(P)$. Both kernels, $\Omega(P,Q)$ and $B(P,\bar{Q})$, are independent of the choice of a canonical basis of cycles $\{a_k, b_k\}$.

We consider the following family of metrics on the real Hurwitz space:

$$\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)\Omega(Q,P_j)\right)^2 (d\lambda_j)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)B(Q,\bar{P}_j)\right)^2 (d\bar{\lambda}_j)^2 \ . \tag{1.1}$$

Here l is an arbitrary contour on the surface not passing through ramification points and such that its projection on the base of the covering does not depend on coordinates $\{\lambda_i;\bar{\lambda}_i\}$; h is an arbitrary function defined in a neighbourhood of the contour. The rotation coefficients β_{ij} of the metrics (1.1) are given by the Schiffer and Bergman kernels evaluated at the ramification points of the covering with respect to the local parameters given by $\sqrt{\lambda(P)-\lambda_i}$:

$$\beta_{ij} = \Omega(P_i,P_j) \ , \qquad \beta_{i\bar{j}} = B(P_i,\bar{P}_j) \ , \qquad \beta_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \overline{\Omega(P_i,P_j)} \ .$$

As a consequence of Rauch variational formulas for the Schiffer and Bergman kernels, we have relations $\partial_{\lambda_k}\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj}$ for the rotation coefficients for distinct indices i,j,k from the set $\{m;\bar{m}\}_{m=1}^{L}$. These relations provide main conditions for the flatness of metrics (1.1).

Some of the metrics (1.1) correspond to Frobenius structures on the Hurwitz space. We describe these structures and find their prepotentials and flat coordinates of the corresponding flat metric. A prepotential as a function of flat coordinates satisfies the WDVV system.

Since for the surface of genus zero the Bergman kernel vanishes and the Schiffer kernel coincides with $W(P,Q)$, the metrics (1.1) and therefore the construction of Frobenius manifolds suggested here is only new for a Hurwitz space in genus ≥ 1 . For the Riemann sphere, our construction coincides with that of Dubrovin. For the simplest Hurwitz space in genus one, which has the real dimension 6, we compute explicitly prepotentials of three new Frobenius manifolds. One of these prepotentials has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}F=&-\frac{1}{4}t_1t_2^2-\frac{1}{4}t_1t_5^2+\frac{1}{2}t_1t_4(2t_3-\frac{1}{2\pi i})-\frac{1}{2}t_1^2t_6-\frac{1}{2}t_3(t_3-\frac{1}{2\pi i})\frac{t_4^2}{t_6}-\frac{1}{16}\frac{t_2^2t_5^2}{t_6}\\&-\frac{t_2^4}{32t_6}-\frac{1}{128\pi i}\frac{t_2^4}{t_6^2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right)+\frac{t_3t_4t_5^2}{4t_6}\\&-\frac{t_5^4}{32t_6}-\frac{1}{128\pi i}\frac{t_5^4}{t_6^2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{1-2\pi it_3}{2\pi it_6}\right)+\frac{(t_3-\frac{1}{2\pi i})t_4t_2^2}{4t_6}\;,\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\gamma(\mu)=4\partial_\mu\log\eta(\mu)$ for η being the Dedekind η-function. The function F is quasihomogeneous, i.e. it satisfies

$$F(\kappa t_1,\kappa^{1/2}t_2,t_3,\kappa t_4,\kappa^{1/2}t_5,t_6)=\kappa^2F(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6)$$

for any nonzero constant κ . The matrix F_1 formed by third derivatives $F_{t_1t_it_j}$ is constant and invertible; it gives the flat metric (written in flat coordinates) from the family of metrics (1.1) which corresponds to the Frobenius structure (1.2). The functions

$$c_{ij}^k=\sum_n(F_1^{-1})_{kn}\frac{\partial^3F}{\partial t_i\partial t_j\partial t_n}$$

define an associative commutative algebra in the tangent space to the underlying Hurwitz space: $\partial_{t_i}\cdot\partial_{t_j}=c_{ij}^k\partial_{t_k}$. (This is equivalent [5] to the WDVV system for the function F.)

Associated with any semisimple Frobenius manifold is the G-function, the solution to Getzler's system of linear differential equations derived in [9] within the study of recursion relations for the genus one Gromov-Witten invariants of smooth projective varieties. This system may be written for any semisimple Frobenius manifold. In [6] it was proven that, for an arbitrary semisimple Frobenius manifold, the Getzler system has a unique quasihomogeneous solution given by

$$G=\log\frac{\tau_{\rm I}}{J^{1/24}}\;. \tag{1.3}$$

Here J is the Jacobian of the transformation between canonical and flat coordinates on the Frobenius manifold; $\tau_{\rm I}$ is the isomonodromic tau-function associated to the Frobenius manifold. For the Frobenius structures described here the ingredients of the formula (1.3) can be computed using results of papers [12, 13]. For example, the isomonodromic tau-function $\tau_{\rm I}$ of the new Frobenius manifolds is related to the isomonodromic tau-function $\tau_{\rm I}^0$ of Dubrovin's Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds by the formula:

$$\tau_{\rm I}=|\tau_{\rm I}^0|^2\,(\det{\rm Im}\mathbb{B})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\;\;,$$

where $\mathbb{B}$ is the matrix of b-periods of the underlying Riemann surface. The function $\tau_{\rm I}^{-2}$ coincides with an appropriately regularized ratio of the determinant of Laplacian on the Riemann surface and the surface volume in the singular metric $|d\lambda|^2$, see [3, 12, 16].

For the Frobenius manifold corresponding to the prepotential (1.2), the G-function is expressed in terms of the Dedekind eta-function as follows:

$$G=-\log\left\{\eta\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right)\eta\left(\frac{1-2\pi it_3}{2\pi it_6}\right)(t_2t_5)^{\frac{1}{8}}\,t_6^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right\}+{\rm const}\;.$$

We hope that in the future the construction of a “real double” can be extended to arbitrary Frobenius manifolds. Presumably this extension can be done on the level of the Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with a Frobenius manifold. The most intriguing case would then be the Frobenius manifolds related to quantum cohomologies; we hope that their “real doubles” might find an interesting geometrical application.

We notice that a class of solutions to the WDVV system related to real Hurwitz spaces was previously constructed in the work [7]. However, the full structure of a Frobenius manifold was not discussed in [7], and an explicit relationship of prepotentials of [7] and solutions to WDVV equations constructed in this work remains unclear.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give definitions of the WDVV system and Frobenius manifold and discuss the one-to-one correspondence between them. In Section 3 we describe the Hurwitz space we shall build Frobenius structures on, the W-bidifferential and the Schiffer and Bergman kernels on a Riemann surface and introduce flat metrics on Hurwitz spaces in terms of the kernels. In Section 4 we reformulate the structures of Frobenius manifolds on Hurwitz spaces introduced by Dubrovin in terms of the W-bidifferential. Section 5 contains the main result of the paper, the Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces considered as real manifolds. Section 6 is devoted to calculation of the G-function for the new Frobenius structures. In Section 7 we consider the simplest Hurwitz space in genus one and present explicit expressions for prepotentials and G-functions of the corresponding Frobenius manifolds.

2 Frobenius manifolds and WDVV equations

The Witten - Dijkgraaf - E.Verlinde - H.Verlinde (WDVV) system looks as follows:

$$F_i F_1^{-1} F_j = F_j F_1^{-1} F_i \ , \qquad i,j = 1,\dots,n \ ,$$

where F_i is the $n \times n$ matrix

$$(F_i)_{lm} = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^i \partial t^l \partial t^m} \ ,$$

and F is a scalar function of n variables $t^1, \dots, t^n$. In the theory of Frobenius manifolds one imposes the following two conditions on the function F :

- Quasihomogeneity (up to a quadratic polynomial): for any nonzero κ and some numbers $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, \nu_F$

$$F(\kappa^{\nu_1} t^1, \dots, \kappa^{\nu_n} t^n) = \kappa^{\nu_F} F(t^1, \dots, t^n) + \text{quadratic terms} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

- Normalization: F_1 is a constant nondegenerate matrix.

The condition of quasihomogeneity can be rewritten in terms of the Euler vector field

$$E := \sum_\alpha \nu_\alpha t^\alpha \partial_{t^\alpha} \tag{2.2}$$

as follows:

$$\text{Lie}_E F = E(F) = \sum_\alpha \nu_\alpha t^\alpha \partial_{t^\alpha} F = \nu_F F + \text{quadratic terms} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 1 *An algebra A over $\mathbb{C}$ is called a (commutative)* **Frobenius algebra** *if:*

- *it is a commutative associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with a unity $\mathbf{e}$.*
- *it is supplied with a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear symmetric nondegenerate inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ having the property $\langle x\cdot y, z\rangle = \langle x, y\cdot z\rangle$ for arbitrary vectors x, y, z from A .*

Definition 2 *M is a* **Frobenius manifold** *of the charge ν if a structure of a Frobenius algebra smoothly depending on the point $t\in M$ is specified on any tangent plane T_tM such that*

F1 *the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a flat metric on M (not necessarily positive definite).*

F2 *the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, i.e. $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{e}=0$ for any vector field $\mathbf{x}$ on M .*

F3 *the tensor $(\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{c})(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z})$ is symmetric in four vector fields $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}\in T_tM$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is the following symmetric 3-tensor: $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}) = \langle\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}\rangle$.*

F4 *there exists on M a vector field E (the Euler field) such that the following conditions hold for any vector fields $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y}$ on M*

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}E) = 0\ , \tag{2.4}$$

$$[E,\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}] - [E,\mathbf{x}]\cdot\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\cdot[E,\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}\ , \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathrm{Lie}_E\langle\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\rangle := E\langle\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\rangle - \langle[E,\mathbf{x}],\mathbf{y}\rangle - \langle\mathbf{x},[E,\mathbf{y}]\rangle = (2-\nu)\langle\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\rangle\ . \tag{2.6}$$

The charge ν of a Frobenius manifold is equal to ν_F+3 , where ν_F is the quasihomogeneity coefficient from (2.3).

Theorem 1 *([5]) Any solution $F(t)$ of the WDVV equations with $\nu_1\neq 0$ defined for $t\in M$ determines on M a structure of a Frobenius manifold and vice versa.*

Proof (see [5]). Given a Frobenius manifold, denote by $\{t^\alpha\}$ the flat coordinates of the metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and by η the constant matrix $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \langle\partial_{t^\alpha},\partial_{t^\beta}\rangle$. Due to the covariant constancy of the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$, we can by a linear change of coordinates put $\mathbf{e}=\partial_{t^1}$. In this coordinates, the condition **F3** of Definition 2 implies the existence of a function F whose third derivatives give the 3-tensor $\mathbf{c}$:

$$\mathbf{c}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \mathbf{c}(\partial_{t^\alpha},\partial_{t^\beta},\partial_{t^\gamma}) = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^\alpha\partial t^\beta\partial t^\gamma}\ .$$

The WDVV equations for the function F provide the associativity condition for the Frobenius algebra defined by relations $\partial_{t^\alpha}\cdot\partial_{t^\beta} = \mathbf{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{t^\gamma}$, where the structure constants $\mathbf{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are found from $\mathbf{c}^\delta_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\delta\gamma} = \mathbf{c}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. The existence of the vector field E implies the quasihomogeneity of the function F . Indeed, requirements (2.5), (2.6) on the Euler vector field imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lie}_E\mathbf{c}(x,y,z) := E\left(\mathbf{c}(x,y,z)\right) - \mathbf{c}([E,x],y,z) - \mathbf{c}(x,[E,y],z) - \mathbf{c}(x,y,[E,z])\\ = (3-\nu)\mathbf{c}(x,y,z)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The Lie derivative Lie_E commutes with the covariant derivative ∇ as can easily be checked in flat coordinates when the Euler vector field (due to (2.4)) has the form (2.2). Therefore, (2.7) implies $\mathrm{Lie}_E F = (3-\nu)F + \text{quadratic terms}$.

The converse statement can be proven analogously. □

The function F , defined up to an addition of an arbitrary quadratic polynomial in $t^1,\ldots,t^n$, is called the *prepotential* of the Frobenius manifold.

Definition 3 *A Frobenius manifold M is called* **semisimple** *if the Frobenius algebra in the tangent space at each point of M does not have nilpotents.*

In this paper we only consider semisimple Frobenius structures.

3 Kernels on Riemann surfaces and Darboux-Egoroff metrics

3.1 Hurwitz spaces

Hurwitz space is the moduli space of pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus g and $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{L}$ of degree N . The pair $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ represents the surface as an N-fold ramified covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ defined by the equation

$$\zeta = \lambda(P) \ , \qquad P \in \mathcal{L}$$

(ζ is a coordinate on $\mathbb{C}P^1$). In this way the surface $\mathcal{L}$ can be viewed as a collection of N copies of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ which are glued together along branch cuts. Critical points P_j of the function $\lambda(P)$ correspond to ramification points of the covering. The projections λ_j of ramification points on the base of the covering ($\mathbb{C}P^1$ with coordinate ζ) are the images of critical points P_j of the function $\lambda(P)$ (λ_j are called the branch points): $\lambda'(P_j) = 0$; $\lambda_j = \lambda(P_j)$.

We assume that all finite branch points $\{\lambda_j \ |\lambda_j < \infty\}$ are simple (i.e. there are exactly two sheets glued together at the corresponding point) and denote their number by L . We also assume that the function λ has $m+1$ poles at the points of $\mathcal{L}$ denoted by $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$; the pole at ∞^i has the order $n_i + 1$. In terms of sheets of the covering, there are $m+1$ points which project to $\zeta = \infty$ on the base; the numbers $\{n_i + 1\}$ give the number of sheets glued at each of these points ($n_0, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\sum_{i=0}^m (n_i + 1) = N$, they are called the ramification indices).

The local parameter near a simple ramification point $P_j \in \mathcal{L}$ (which is not a pole of λ) is $x_j(P) = \sqrt{\lambda(P) - \lambda_j}$; and in a neighbourhood $P \sim \infty^i$ the local parameter z_i is given by $z_i(P) = (\lambda(P))^{-1/(n_i+1)}$.

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula connects the genus g of the surface, degree N of the function λ , the number L of simple finite branch points, and the ramification indices n_i over infinity:

$$2g - 2 = -2N + L + \sum_{i=0}^{m} n_i \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Two coverings are said to be equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a permutation of sheets. The set of equivalence classes of described coverings will be denoted by $M = M_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$. We shall work with a covering $\widehat{M} = \widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ of this space. A point of the space $\widehat{M}$ is a triple $\{\mathcal{L}, \lambda, \{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^g\}$, where $\{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^g$ is a canonical basis of cycles on $\mathcal{L}$. The branch points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L$ play the role of local coordinates on $\widehat{M}$, viewed as a complex manifold.

3.2 Bidifferential W , Bergman and Schiffer kernels

First, we summarize properties of three well-known symmetric bidifferentials on Riemann surfaces. Being suitably evaluated at the ramification points $\{P_j\}$, these kernels will play the role of rotation coefficients of flat metrics on Hurwitz spaces.

The meromorphic bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ defined by

$$W(P,Q) := d_P d_Q \log E(P,Q) \tag{3.2}$$

is the symmetric differential on $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$ with the second order pole at the diagonal $P = Q$ with biresidue 1 and the properties:

$$\oint_{a_k} W(P,Q) = 0\ ; \qquad \oint_{b_k} W(P,Q) = 2\pi i\, \omega_k(P)\ ; \qquad k = 1, \dots, g\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^{g}$ is the canonical basis of cycles on $\mathcal{L}$; $\{\omega_k(P)\}_{k=1}^{g}$ is the corresponding set of holomorphic differentials normalized by $\oint_{a_l} \omega_k = \delta_{kl}$; and $E(P,Q)$ is the prime form on the surface $\mathcal{L}$. The dependence of the bidifferential W on branch points of the Riemann surface is given by the Rauch variational formulas [11, 15]:

$$\frac{\partial W(P,Q)}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2} W(P,P_j) W(Q,P_j)\ , \tag{3.4}$$

where $W(P,P_j)$ denotes the evaluation of the bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ at $Q = P_j$ with respect to the standard local parameter $x_j(Q) = \sqrt{\lambda(Q) - \lambda_j}$ near the ramification point P_j :

$$W(P,P_j) := \frac{W(P,Q)}{dx_j(Q)}|_{Q=P_j}\ . \tag{3.5}$$

The bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ depends holomorphically on the branch points $\{\lambda_j\}$ in contrast to the following two bidifferentials [8].

The *Schiffer kernel* $\Omega(P,Q)$ is the symmetric differential on $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$ defined by:

$$\Omega(P,Q) := W(P,Q) - \pi \sum_{k,l=1}^{g} (\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})^{-1}_{kl} \omega_k(P) \omega_l(Q)\ , \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathbb{B}$ is the symmetric matrix of b-periods of holomorphic normalized differentials $\{\omega_k\}$: $\mathbb{B}_{kl} = \oint_{b_k} \omega_l$, which depends holomorphically on the branch points $\{\lambda_j\}$. This kernel has the same singularity structure as the bidifferential W , it depends on $\{\bar{\lambda}_j\}$ due to the terms added to W , since $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B} = (\mathbb{B} - \bar{\mathbb{B}})/(2i)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ is a function of $\{\bar{\lambda}_j\}$. For a surface of genus zero the Schiffer kernel coincides with W .

The *Bergman kernel* $B(P,\bar{Q})$ is defined by:

$$B(P,\bar{Q}) = \pi \sum_{k,l=1}^{g} (\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})^{-1}_{kl} \omega_k(P) \overline{\omega_l(Q)}\ . \tag{3.7}$$

It vanishes for a surface of genus zero.

An important property of the Schiffer and Bergman kernels is independence of the choice of a canonical basis of cycles $\{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^{g}$ on the Riemann surface. This can be seen, for example, from the following definitions (see Fay [8]) equivalent to (3.6) and (3.7).

The Schiffer kernel is the unique symmetric bidifferential with a singularity of the form $(x(P) - x(Q))^{-2} dx(P) dx(Q)$ along $P = Q$ and such that

$$\text{p.v.} \iint_{\mathcal{L}} \Omega(P,Q) \overline{\omega(P)} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

holds for any holomorphic differential ω .

The Bergman kernel is (up to the multiplier $2\pi i$) a kernel of an integral operator which acts in the space $L_2^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{L})$ of $(1,0)$-forms as an orthogonal projector onto the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{L})$ of holomorphic $(1,0)$-forms. In particular, the following holds for any holomorphic differential ω on the surface $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\iint\limits_{\mathcal{L}} B(P,\bar{Q})\omega(Q) = \omega(P) \; . \tag{3.9}$$

For the Bergman kernel the independence of the choice of a canonical basis of cycles can also be seen directly from (3.7) using $(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})_{kl} = \frac{i}{2}\iint_{\mathcal{L}}\omega_k(P)\overline{\omega_l(P)}$.

The periods of Schiffer and Bergman kernels are related to each other as follows:

$$\oint_{a_k}\Omega(P,Q) = -\oint_{a_k} B(\bar{P},Q) \; , \qquad \oint_{b_k}\Omega(P,Q) = -\oint_{b_k} B(\bar{P},Q) \tag{3.10}$$

where the integrals are taken with respect to the first argument. Their derivatives with respect to branch points and their complex conjugates are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial\lambda_j} &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega(P,P_j)\Omega(Q,P_j) \; , & \frac{\partial\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial\bar{\lambda}_j} &= \frac{1}{2}B(P,\bar{P}_j)B(Q,\bar{P}_j) \; , \\ \frac{\partial B(P,\bar{Q})}{\partial\lambda_j} &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega(P,P_j)B(P_j,\bar{Q}) \; , & \frac{\partial B(P,\bar{Q})}{\partial\bar{\lambda}_j} &= \frac{1}{2}B(P,\bar{P}_j)\overline{\Omega(Q,P_j)} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The notation here is analogous to that in (3.5), i.e. $\Omega(P,P_j)$ stands for $(\Omega(P,Q)/dx_j(Q))\,|_{Q=P_j}$ and $B(P,\bar{P}_j) := \left(B(P,\bar{Q})/\overline{dx_j(Q)}\right)|_{Q=P_j}$. To prove (3.11) one uses the variational formulas (3.4) for $W(P,Q)$, and the following Rauch variational foumulas for holomorphic normalized differentials $\{\omega_k\}$ and for the matrix of b-periods [15]:

$$\frac{\partial\,\omega_k(P)}{\partial\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_k(P_j)W(P,P_j) \; , \qquad \frac{\partial\,\mathbb{B}_{kl}}{\partial\lambda_j} = \pi i\,\omega_k(P_j)\omega_l(P_j) \; , \tag{3.12}$$

where we write $\omega_k(P_j)$ for $(\omega_k(P)/dx_j(P))|_{P=P_j}$. Derivatives of ω_k and $\mathbb{B}$ with respect to $\{\bar{\lambda}_j\}$ vanish.

3.3 Darboux-Egoroff metrics

Now we are in a position to introduce two families of Darboux-Egoroff (flat potential diagonal) metrics on Hurwitz spaces written in terms of the described bidifferentials. Following the terminology of Dubrovin, we call a bilinear quadratic form a metric even if it is not positive definite.

A diagonal metric $\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_i g_{ii}(d\lambda_i)^2$ is called potential if there exists a function U such that $\partial_{\lambda_i}U = g_{ii}$ for all i . A potential diagonal metric is flat (Riemann curvature tensor vanishes) if its *rotation coefficients* β_{ij} defined for $i \neq j$ by

$$\beta_{ij} := \frac{\partial_{\lambda_j}\sqrt{g_{ii}}}{\sqrt{g_{jj}}} \tag{3.13}$$

satisfy the system of equations:

$$\partial_{\lambda_k}\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj} \;, \qquad i,j,k \text{ are distinct,} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\sum_k \partial_{\lambda_k}\beta_{ij} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \beta_{ij} \;. \tag{3.15}$$

3.3.1 Darboux-Egoroff metrics in terms of the bidifferential W

The following family of diagonal metrics (bilinear quadratic forms) on the Hurwitz space first appeared in [11] where it was realized that the corresponding rotation coefficients are given by the bidifferential W (see (3.17)) and that the metrics are flat:

$$\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)W(Q,P_j)\right)^2 (d\lambda_j)^2 \;. \tag{3.16}$$

Here l is an arbitrary smooth contour on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{L}$ such that $P_j \notin l$ for any j , and its image $\lambda(l)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is independent of the branch points $\{\lambda_j\}$; $h(Q)$ is an arbitrary independent of $\{\lambda_j\}$ function defined in a neighbourhood of the contour l .

Using variational formulas (3.4), we find that rotation coefficients of the metric (3.16) are given by the bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ evaluated at the ramification points of the surface $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the standard local parameters $x_j = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_j}$ near P_j :

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}W(P_i,P_j) \;, \qquad i,j = 1,\dots,L \;, \;\; i \neq j \;. \tag{3.17}$$

Here $W(P_i,P_j)$, similarly to (3.5), stands for $(W(P,Q)/(dx_i(P)dx_j(Q)))\,|_{P=P_i,Q=P_j}$. Note that rotation coefficients β_{ij} (3.17) are symmetric with respect to indices, therefore the metrics (3.16) are potential. The next proposition shows that they are Darboux-Egoroff metrics.

Proposition 1 *[11] Rotation coefficients (3.17) satisfy equations (3.14), (3.15) and therefore metrics (3.16) are flat.*

Proof. Variational formulas (3.4) with $P = P_i$, $Q = P_k$, for different i,j,k imply relations (3.14) for rotation coefficients (3.17). Equations (3.15) hold for the coefficients due to the invariance of $W(P,Q)$ with respect to biholomorphic maps of the Riemann surface. Namely, consider the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\delta$ obtained from $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ by a simultaneous δ-shift $\lambda \to \lambda+\delta$ on all sheets. The surface $\mathcal{L}$ is mapped by this transformation to $\mathcal{L}^\delta$ so that the point $P \in \mathcal{L}$ goes to $P^\delta \in \mathcal{L}^\delta$ which belongs to the same sheet of the covering as P and is such that $\lambda(P^\delta) = \lambda(P) + \delta$. Denote by W^δ the bidifferential W on the surface $\mathcal{L}^\delta$. Since the transformation $\lambda \to \lambda+\delta$ is biholomorphic, we have $W^\delta(P^\delta,Q^\delta) = W(P,Q)$. The same relation is true for $W(P,Q)/(dx_i(P)dx_j(Q))$ when points P and Q are in neighbourhoods of ramification points P_i and P_j , respectively:

$$\frac{W^\delta(P^\delta,Q^\delta)}{dx_i^\delta(P^\delta)dx_j^\delta(Q^\delta)} = \frac{W(P,Q)}{dx_i(P)dx_j(Q)} \;. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that $x_i(P) = \sqrt{\lambda(P) - \lambda_i}$ does not change under a simultaneous shift of all branch points and λ . After the substitution $P = P_i$, $Q = P_j$ in (3.18) the differentiation with respect to δ at $\delta = 0$ gives the sum of derivatives with respect to branch points: $\sum_{k=1}^{L}\partial_{\lambda_k}W(P_i,P_j) = 0$. Thus, the rotation coefficients (3.17) satisfy also (3.15). Therefore the metrics (3.16) are flat. □

3.3.2 Darboux-Egoroff metrics in terms of Schiffer and Bergman kernels

Now let us consider the Hurwitz space M as a real manifold, i.e. a manifold with a set of local coordinates formed by the branch points and their complex conjugates. As an analogue of the family of metrics (3.16) on the space of coverings $M = M_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ with the local coordinates $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_L;\bar\lambda_1,\dots,\bar\lambda_L\}$ we consider the following two families of metrics:

$$\mathbf{ds_1^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)\Omega(Q,P_j)\right)^2(d\lambda_j)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)B(Q,\bar P_j)\right)^2(d\bar\lambda_j)^2 \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\mathbf{ds_2^2} = \mathrm{Re}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\oint_l h(Q)\Omega(Q,P_j) + \oint_l \overline{h(Q)}B(\bar Q,P_j)\right)^2(d\lambda_j)^2\right\} . \tag{3.20}$$

Here, as before, l is an arbitrary contour on the surface not passing through $\{P_j\}$ and such that its image $\lambda(l)$ in ζ-plane is independent of branch points $\{\lambda_j\}$; h is an arbitrary function independent of $\{\lambda_j\}$ defined in some neighbourhood of the contour.

From variational formulas (3.11) for the Schiffer and Bergman kernels we see that these metrics are potential and their rotation coefficients are given by the kernels evaluated at ramification points of $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(P_i,P_j)\ , \qquad \beta_{i\bar j} = \frac{1}{2}B(P_i,\bar P_j)\ , \qquad \beta_{\bar i\bar j} = \overline{\beta_{ij}}\ . \tag{3.21}$$

Here $i,j = 1,\dots,L$ and the index $\bar j$ corresponds to differentiation with respect to $\bar\lambda_j$. Similarly to the notation in (3.17), we understand $\Omega(P_i,P_j)$ and $B(P_i,\bar P_j)$ as follows:

$$\Omega(P_i,P_j) := \frac{\Omega(P,Q)}{dx_i(P)dx_j(Q)}\Big|_{P=P_i,\ Q=P_j}\ , \qquad B(P_i,\bar P_j) := \frac{B(P,\bar Q)}{dx_i(P)\overline{dx_j(Q)}}\Big|_{P=P_i,\ Q=P_j} .$$

Remark **1** Note that rotation coefficients of the metrics (3.19), (3.20) are defined on the space $M_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$, in contrast to rotation coefficients (3.17). The coefficients (3.17) are given by the bidifferential W , which depends on the choice of a canonical basis of cycles $\{a_i,b_i\}$, and therefore are defined on the covering $\widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ (see Section 3.1). However, the metrics of the type (3.19), (3.20) which will be used in Section 5 still depend on the choice of cycles $\{a_i,b_i\}$ through the choice of contours l .

Proposition 2 *Rotation coefficients (3.21) satisfy equations (3.14), (3.15) and therefore metrics (3.19), (3.20) are flat.*

The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 1. Here δ should be taken real, $\delta\in\mathbb{R}$.

Note that in equations (3.14), (3.15) i,j,k run through the set of all possible indices which in this case is $\{1,\dots,L;\bar 1,\dots,\bar L\}$, where we put $\lambda_{\bar k} := \bar\lambda_k$.

4 Dubrovin's Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces

We start with a description of Dubrovin's construction [5] of Frobenius manifolds on the space $\widehat{M} = \widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ using the bidifferential $W(P,Q)$. The branch points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L$ are the local coordinates on $\widehat{M}$.

To introduce a structure of a Frobenius algebra on the tangent space $T_t\widehat{M}$ for some point $t \in \widehat{M}$ we take coordinates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L$ to be canonical for multiplication, i.e we define

$$\partial_{\lambda_i} \cdot \partial_{\lambda_j} = \delta_{ij} \partial_{\lambda_i} \ . \tag{4.1}$$

Then, the unit vector field is given by

$$\mathbf{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \partial_{\lambda_i} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

For this multiplication law, the diagonal metrics (3.16) obviously have the property $\langle \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} \rangle$ required in the definition of a Frobenius algebra. Therefore together with the multiplication (4.1) the metrics (3.16) define a family of Frobenius algebras on $T_t\widehat{M}$.

Among the family of metrics (3.16) (and Frobenius algebras) we are going to isolate those corresponding to Frobenius manifolds.

The Euler vector field has the following form in canonical coordinates [5]:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

4.1 Primary differentials

As is easy to see, with the Euler field (4.3), the multiplication (4.1) satisfies requirement (2.5) from **F4**. Condition (2.6) then reduces to

$$E\left(\langle \partial_{\lambda_i}, \partial_{\lambda_i} \rangle\right) = -\nu \langle \partial_{\lambda_i}, \partial_{\lambda_i} \rangle \ . \tag{4.4}$$

The following proposition describes the metrics from family (3.16) which satisfy this condition.

Proposition 3 *Let the contour l in (3.16) be either a closed contour on $\mathcal{L}$ or a contour connecting points ∞^i and ∞^j for some i and j . In the latter case we regularize the integral by omitting its divergent part as a function of the corresponding local parameter near ∞^i . Choose a function $h(Q)$ in (3.16) to be $h(Q) = C\,\lambda^n(Q)$ (where C is a constant). Then the Euler vector field (4.3) acts on metrics (3.16) according to (4.4) with $\nu = 1 - 2n$.*

Proof. Let us again use the invariance of the bidifferential W under biholomorphic mappings of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{L}$. Consider the mapping $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\epsilon$ when the transformation $\lambda \to (1+\epsilon)\lambda$ is performed on every sheet of the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$. A point P of the surface is then mapped to the point P^ϵ of the same sheet such that $\lambda(P^\epsilon) = (1+\epsilon)\lambda(P)$. If W^ϵ is the bidifferential W on $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\epsilon$, then $W^\epsilon(P^\epsilon, Q^\epsilon) = W(P,Q)$. For the local parameter $x_i = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_i}$ in a neighbourhood of a ramification point P_i , we have $dx_i^\epsilon = \sqrt{1+\epsilon}\, dx_i$. A contour l of the specified type is invariant as a path of integration in (3.16) with respect to this transformation. Therefore we have

$$\left(\oint_{l^\epsilon} \lambda^n(Q^\epsilon) \frac{W^\epsilon(Q^\epsilon, P^\epsilon)}{dx_j^\epsilon(P^\epsilon)} \right)^2 = (1+\epsilon)^{2n-1} \left(\oint_{l} \lambda^n(Q) \frac{W(Q,P)}{dx_j(P)} \right)^2 \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Putting $P = P_j$, we differentiate (4.5) with respect to ϵ at $\epsilon = 0$. This yields the action of the vector field E on the metric coefficient in the left-hand side and proves the proposition. $\square$

Proposition 4 *Rotation coefficients (3.17) given by the bidifferential* W *satisfy* $E(\beta_{ij}) = -\beta_{ij}$.

Proof. This is a corollary of Proposition 3 and can be proven by a straightforward calculation using (4.4) and the definition of rotation coefficients (3.13). Alternatively, it can be proven directly by the method used in the proof of Proposition 3. $\square$

So far we have restricted the family of flat metrics to those of the form (3.16) with $h = C\,\lambda^n$ and the contour l being either closed or connecting points ∞^i , ∞^j :

$$\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(C \oint_l \lambda^n(Q) W(Q, P_j) \right)^2 (d\lambda_j)^2 \,. \tag{4.6}$$

An additional restriction comes from **F2**, the requirement of covariant constancy of the unit vector field (4.2) with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

Lemma 1 *If a diagonal metric* $\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_i g_{ii}(d\lambda_i)^2$ *is potential (i.e.* $\partial_{\lambda_i} g_{jj} = \partial_{\lambda_j} g_{ii}$ *holds) and its coefficients* g_{ii} *are annihilated by the unit vector field (4.2)* ($\mathbf{e}(g_{ii}) = 0$), *then the vector field* $\mathbf{e}$ *is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2}$.

The proof is a simple calculation using the expression for the Christoffel symbols via coefficients of a diagonal metric:

$$\Gamma^k_{ii} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_{\lambda_k} g_{ii}}{g_{kk}} \,, \qquad \Gamma^i_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_{\lambda_i} g_{ii}}{g_{ii}} \,, \qquad \Gamma^i_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_{\lambda_j} g_{ii}}{g_{ii}} \,, \qquad \Gamma^k_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for distinct } i, j, k. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, we need to find the metrics of the form (4.6) such that the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ annihilates their coefficients. These metrics can be written as

$$\mathbf{ds^2_\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\operatorname*{res}_{P=P_i} \frac{\phi^2(P)}{d\lambda(P)} \right) (d\lambda_i)^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \phi^2(P_i)(d\lambda_i)^2 \,, \tag{4.8}$$

where ϕ is a differential of one of the five types listed below in Theorem 2. These differentials are called primary and all have the form $\phi(P) = C \oint_l \lambda^n(Q) W(Q, P)$ with some specific choice of a contour l and function $C\lambda^n$. In other words, we shall consider five types of combinations of a contour and a function $C\lambda^n$. Let us write these combinations in the form of operations of integration over the contour with the weight function. The operations, applied to a 1-form f , have the following form:

1. $\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[f(Q)] := \dfrac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} f(Q) \qquad i = 0, \dots, m \; ; \; \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i$.

2. $\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[f(Q)] := \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q) f(Q) \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$.

3. $\mathrm{I}_{w^i}[f(Q)] := \text{v.p.} \displaystyle\int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} f(Q) \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$.

4. $\mathrm{I}_{r^k}[f(Q)] := -\displaystyle\oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q) f(Q) \qquad k = 1, \dots, g$.

5. $\mathrm{I}_{s^k}[f(Q)] := \dfrac{1}{2\pi i} \displaystyle\oint_{b_k} f(Q) \qquad k = 1, \dots, g$.

Here the principal value near infinity is defined by omitting the divergent part of the integral as a function of the local parameter z_i (such that $\lambda = z_i^{-n_i-1}$) .

Theorem 2 *Let us choose a point $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ which is mapped to zero by the function λ , i.e. $\lambda(P_0) = 0$, and let all basis contours $\{a_k, b_k\}$ start at this point. Then, the defined operations 1.-5. applied to the bidifferential W give a set of L differentials, called primary, with the following singularities (characteristic properties). By z_i we denote the local parameter near ∞^i such that $z_i^{-n_i-1} = \lambda$, n_i being the ramification index at ∞^i .*

1. $\phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P) := \mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[W(P,Q)] \quad \sim z_i^{-\alpha-1}(P)dz_i(P)$, $P \sim \infty^i$; $\quad i = 0,...,m$; $\alpha = 1,...,n_i$.
2. $\phi_{v^i}(P) := \mathrm{I}_{v^i}[W(P,Q)] \quad \sim -d\lambda(P)$, $P \sim \infty^i$; $\quad i = 1,\ldots,m$.
3. $\phi_{w^i}(P) := \mathrm{I}_{w^i}[W(P,Q)]$: $\quad \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} \phi_{w^i} = 1$; $\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^0} \phi_{w^i} = -1$; $\quad i = 1,\ldots,m$.
4. $\phi_{r^k}(P) := \mathrm{I}_{r^k}[W(P,Q)]$: $\quad \phi_{r^k}(P^{b_k}) - \phi_{r^k}(P) = 2\pi i d\lambda(P)$; $\quad k = 1,\ldots,g$.
5. $\phi_{s^k}(P) := \mathrm{I}_{s^k}[W(P,Q)]$: $\quad$ *holomorphic differential* $\quad k = 1,\ldots,g$.

Here $\phi_{r^k}(P^{b_k}) - \phi_{r^k}(P)$ denotes the transformation of the differential under analytic continuation along the cycle b_k on the Riemann surface.

All above differentials have zero a-periods except ϕ_{s^l} which satisfy: $\oint_{a_k} \phi_{s^l} = \delta_{kl}$.

Proof. Let us prove that

$$\phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P) \underset{P\sim\infty^i}{\sim} z_i^{-\alpha-1}(P)dz_i(P) . \tag{4.9}$$

It is easy to see that the differential $\phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P)$ has a singularity only at $P = \infty^i$. Let us consider the expansion of the bidifferential W at $Q \sim \infty^i$:

$$W(P,Q) \underset{Q\sim\infty^i}{\simeq} W(P,\infty^i) + W'_{,2}(P,\infty^i)z_i(Q) + \frac{1}{2}W''_{,2}(P,\infty^i)z_i^2(Q) + \ldots . \tag{4.10}$$

Since $W(P,Q) \simeq \left((z_i(P) - z_i(Q))^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right) dz_i(P)dz_i(Q)$ when $P \sim Q \sim \infty^i$ then we have for the $(\alpha-1)$-th coefficient of the expansion (4.10)

$$\frac{1}{\alpha!}W_{,2}^{(\alpha-1)}(P,\infty^i) \underset{P\sim\infty^i}{\sim} \frac{dz_i(P)}{z_i^{\alpha+1}(P)} ,$$

which proves (4.9). The case $\alpha = n_i + 1$ proves $\phi_{v^i}(P) \underset{P\sim\infty^i}{\sim} -d\lambda(P)$.

For the differentials ϕ_{ω^i} the theorem can be proven analogously.

The differential $\phi_{r^k}(P)$ is not defined at the points of the contour a_k , however it has certain limits as P approaches the contour from different sides; thus $\phi_{r^k}(P)$ is defined and single valued on the fundamental polygon $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ of the surface. (The fundamental polygon $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is obtained by cutting the surface along all basis cycles a_k and b_k provided they all start at one point.) Let us denote $dq_k^i(P) := \phi_{r^k}(P^{b_i}) - \phi_{r^k}(P)$ (as we shall see below, dq_k^i is indeed an exact differential) and consider the differential $\phi_{r^k}(P)\int_{P_0}^P \omega_k$ (ω_k is one of the normalized holomorphic differentials such that $\oint_{a_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}$). This differential has no poles inside $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore its integral over the boundary of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ equals zero. On the other hand, since the boundary $\partial\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ consists of cycles $\{a_j\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ the integral can be rewritten via periods of the differentials as follows:

$$0 = \oint_{\partial\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \phi_{r^k}(P)\int_{P_0}^P \omega_k = \oint_{b_k} \phi_{r^k} - \sum_j \oint_{a_j} \phi_{r^k}\mathbb{B}_{jk} + \sum_j \oint_{a_j} q_k^j \omega_k \tag{4.11}$$

($\mathbb{B}_{jk} = \oint_{b_j} \omega_k$). Due to the choice of the point P_0 where all basis cycles start, we can change the order of integration in expressions $\oint_{b_k} \oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q) W(P,Q)$ as can be checked by a local (near the point P_0) calculation of the integral. Therefore we have

$$\oint_{a_j} \phi_{r^k}(P) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \qquad \text{and} \qquad \oint_{b_k} \phi_{r^k}(P) = -2\pi i \oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q)\omega_k(Q) \; .$$

Then, the relation (4.11) takes the form

$$0 = -2\pi i \oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q)\omega_k(Q) + \sum_j \oint_{a_j} q_k^j(Q)\omega_k(Q) \; ,$$

and we conclude that $q_k^j(Q) = 2\pi i \lambda(Q)\delta_{jk}$.

For differentials ϕ_{s^k} the statement of the theorem follows from properties (3.3) of the bidifferential W . For all primary differentials (except ϕ_{s^k}) a-periods are zero since they are zero for W . □

4.2 Flat coordinates

For a flat metric there exists a set of coordinates in which coefficients of the metric are constant. These coordinates are called the *flat coordinates* of the metric. In flat coordinates the Christoffel symbols vanish and the covariant derivative ∇_{t^A} is the usual partial derivative ∂_{t^A} . Therefore flat coordinates can be found from the equation $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} t = 0$ ($\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are arbitrary vector fields on the manifold). In canonical coordinates this equation has the form:

$$\partial_{\lambda_i}\partial_{\lambda_j} t = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_{\lambda_k} t \; , \tag{4.12}$$

where the Christoffel symbols are given by (4.7). For different i, j, k , the Christoffel symbols of the metrics $\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi$ (4.8) have the form:

$$\Gamma_{ii}^k = -\beta_{ik}\frac{\phi(P_i)}{\phi(P_k)} \; , \qquad \Gamma_{ii}^i = -\sum_{j,\, j\neq i} \Gamma_{ij}^i \; , \qquad \Gamma_{ij}^i = \beta_{ij}\frac{\phi(P_j)}{\phi(P_i)} \; , \qquad \Gamma_{ij}^k = 0 \; .$$

Theorem 3 *([5]) The following functions give a set of flat coordinates of the metric* $\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi$ *(4.8):*

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{i;\alpha} &= -\,(n_i+1)\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;1+n_i-\alpha}}[\phi] && i = 0,\dots,m\;;\ \alpha = 1,\dots,n_i \\
v^i &= -\,\mathrm{I}_{w^i}[\phi] && i = 1,\dots,m \\
w^i &= -\,\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[\phi] && i = 1,\dots,m \\
r^k &= \mathrm{I}_{s^k}[\phi] && k = 1,\dots,g \\
s^k &= \mathrm{I}_{r^k}[\phi] && k = 1,\dots,g\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Non-zero entries of the constant matrix of the metric in these coordinates are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi(\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}}, \partial_{t^{j;\beta}}) &= \frac{1}{n_i+1}\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,n_i+1} \; , \\
\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi(\partial_{v^i}, \partial_{w^j}) &= \delta_{ij} \; , \\
\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi(\partial_{r^k}, \partial_{s^l}) &= -\delta_{kl} \; .
\end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience we denote an arbitrary flat coordinate by t^A , and a primary differential by ϕ_{t^A} , i.e.

$$t^A \in \{t^{i;\alpha}\ ;\ v^i\ , w^i\ ;\ r^k\ , s^k\ | i = 0, \dots, m\ ;\ \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i\ ; k = 1, \dots, g\}\ .$$

Proposition 5 *In flat coordinates* $\{t^A\}$ *of the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_\phi}$, *the Euler vector field (4.3) has the form (2.2) with coefficients* $\{\nu_A\}$ *depending on the choice of a primary differential* ϕ :

- *if* $\phi = \phi_{t^{i_o;\alpha}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1} - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}\right) t^{i;\alpha} \partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1} v^i \partial_{v^i} + (1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1}) \omega^i \partial_{\omega^i} \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1} r^k \partial_{r^k} + (1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1}) s^k \partial_{s^k} \right)\ ,$$

- *if* $\phi = \phi_{v^{i_o}}$ *or* $\phi = \phi_{r^{k_o}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} (2 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}) t^{i;\alpha} \partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v^i \partial_{v^i} + 2\omega^i \partial_{\omega^i} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(r^k \partial_{r^k} + 2 s^k \partial_{s^k} \right)\ ,$$

- *if* $\phi = \phi_{\omega^{i_o}}$ *or* $\phi = \phi_{s^{k_o}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} (1 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}) t^{i;\alpha} \partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega^i \partial_{\omega^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{g} s^k \partial_{s^k}\ .$$

Proposition 6 *(see [5]) The unit vector field* $\mathbf{e}$ *(4.2) in the flat coordinates of the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_{\phi_{t^{A_0}}}}$ *has the form:* $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{t^{A_0}}$.

Thus, the coordinate t^{A_0} is naturally marked. Let us denote it by t^1 so that $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{t^1}$.

In flat coordinates the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection vanish. Therefore the proposition implies that the unit vector field is covariantly constant (**F2**).

4.3 Prepotentials of Frobenius structures

Definition 4 *A* **prepotential** *of a Frobenius manifold is a function* F *of flat coordinates of the corresponding metric such that its third derivatives are given by the symmetric* 3*-tensor* $\mathbf{c}$ *from the definition of a Frobenius manifold (***F3***):*

$$\frac{\partial^3 F(t)}{\partial_{t^A} \partial_{t^B} \partial_{t^C}} = \mathbf{c}(\partial_{t^A}, \partial_{t^B}, \partial_{t^C}) = \mathbf{ds^2_\phi}(\partial_{t^A} \cdot \partial_{t^B}, \partial_{t^C})\ . \tag{4.13}$$

By presenting this function (defined up to a quadratic polynomial in flat coordinates) for each metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\phi}$ we shall prove the symmetry in four indices (A, B, C, D) of the tensor $(\nabla_{\partial_{t^D}} \mathbf{c})(\partial_{t^A}, \partial_{t^B}, \partial_{t^C})$ and therefore complete the construction of the Frobenius manifold.

We shall denote the Frobenius manifold corresponding to the metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\phi}$ by $\widehat{M}^\phi = \widehat{M}^\phi_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ and its prepotential by F_ϕ .

Remark **2** Proposition 6 implies that the third order derivatives (4.13) are constant if one of the derivatives is taken with respect to the coordinate t^1

$$\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t^1 \partial t^A \partial t^B} = -\mathbf{ds}^2_{\phi_{t^1}}(\partial_{t^A}, \partial_{t^B}) \ .$$

Before writing a formula for the prepotential we shall define a pairing of differentials. Let $\omega^{(1)}$ and $\omega^{(2)}$ be two differentials on the surface $\mathcal{L}$ holomorphic outside of the points $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ with the following behaviour at ∞^i :

$$\omega^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{n=-n^{(\alpha)}}^{\infty} c_{n,i}^{(\alpha)} z_i^n dz_i + \frac{1}{n_i+1} d\left(\sum_{n>0} r_{n,i}^{(\alpha)} \lambda^n \log \lambda \right) \ , \quad P \sim \infty^i \ , \tag{4.14}$$

where $n^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{n,i}^{(\alpha)}$, $r_{n,i}^{(\alpha)}$ are some coefficients; $z_i = z_i(P)$ is a local parameter near ∞^i . Denote also for $k = 1, \dots, g$:

$$\oint_{a_k} \omega^{(\alpha)} = A_k^{(\alpha)} \ , \tag{4.15}$$

$$\omega^{(\alpha)}(P^{a_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}(P) = dp_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda(P)) \ , \quad p_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} p_{sk}^{(\alpha)} \lambda^s \ , \tag{4.16}$$

$$\omega^{(\alpha)}(P^{b_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}(P) = dq_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda(P)) \ , \quad q_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} q_{sk}^{(\alpha)} \lambda^s \ . \tag{4.17}$$

Here, as before, $\omega(P^{a_k})$ and $\omega(P^{b_k})$ denote the analytic continuation of $\omega(P)$ along the corresponding cycle on the Riemann surface.

Note that if $\omega^{(\alpha)}$ is one of the primary differentials (defined in Theorem 2), then the coefficients $c_{n,i}$, $r_{n,i}$, p_{sk}, q_{sk} and A_k do not depend on coordinates.

Definition 5 *For two differentials whose singularity structures are given by (4.14) - (4.17) define a* **pairing** $\mathcal{F}[\ ,\ ]$ *as follows:*

$$\mathcal{F}[\omega^{(\alpha)}\ ,\ \omega^{(\beta)}] = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{c_{-n-2,i}^{(\alpha)}}{n+1} c_{n,i}^{(\beta)} + c_{-1,i}^{(\alpha)} \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \omega^{(\beta)} - \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \sum_{n>0} r_{n,i}^{(\alpha)} \lambda^n \omega^{(\beta)} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(-\oint_{a_k} q_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) \omega^{(\beta)} + \oint_{b_k} p_k^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) \omega^{(\beta)} + A_k^{(\alpha)} \oint_{b_k} \omega^{(\beta)} \right) \ ,$$

where P_0 *is a marked point on the surface such that* $\lambda(P_0) = 0$.

For any primary differential ϕ we consider a (multivalued on $\mathcal{L}$) function p :

$$p(P) = \text{v.p.} \int_{\infty^0}^{P} \phi \ . \tag{4.18}$$

One can see that singularities of the differential $pd\lambda$ can be described by formulas similar to (4.14) - (4.17). The corresponding coefficients $c_{n,i}$, $r_{n,i}$, p_{sk}, q_{sk} and A_k for $\omega = pd\lambda$ depend on coordinates $\{\lambda_k\}$ in contrast to those for primary differentials.

Theorem 4 *([5]) The following function gives a prepotential of the Frobenius manifold* $\widehat{M}^\phi$:

$$F_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}[pd\lambda \ , \ pd\lambda] \ , \tag{4.19}$$

where p *is the multivalued function (4.18). The third derivatives of* F_ϕ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 F_\phi(t)}{\partial_{t^A}\partial_{t^B}\partial_{t^C}} &= \mathbf{c}(\partial_{t^A}, \partial_{t^B}, \partial_{t^C}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname*{res}_{P_i} \frac{\phi_{t^A}\phi_{t^B}\phi_{t^C}}{dpd\lambda} \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\phi_{t^A}(P_i)\phi_{t^B}(P_i)\phi_{t^C}(P_i)}{\phi(P_i)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Theorem 5 *([5]) The second derivatives of the prepotential* F_ϕ *are given by the pairing of the corresponding primary differentials:*

$$\partial_{t^A}\partial_{t^B}F_\phi = \mathcal{F}[\phi_{t^A} \ , \ \phi_{t^B}] \ .$$

For the described Frobenius manifold $\widehat{M}^\phi$, the prepotential (4.19) is a quasihomogenous function of flat coordinates $\{t^A\}$ of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_\phi$, i.e. the following holds for some numbers $\{\nu_A\}$ and ν_F and any non-zero constant κ :

$$F_\phi(\kappa^{\nu_1}t^1, \ldots, \kappa^{\nu_n}t^n) = \kappa^{\nu_F}F_\phi(t^1, \ldots, t^n) + \text{quadratic terms} \ .$$

This follows from the existence of the Euler vector field satisfying (2.4) - (2.6) (see the proof of Theorem 1).

The coefficients of quasihomogeneity $\{\nu_A\}$ are coefficients of the Euler vector field written in flat coordinates (see (2.1) - (2.3)); they are given by Proposition 5. The coefficient $\nu_F = 3 - \nu$ can be computed for each Frobenius structure $\widehat{M}^\phi$ using Proposition 3:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{if } \ \phi = \phi_{t^{i;\alpha}} \ , & \text{then} & \nu = 1 - \dfrac{2\alpha}{n_i+1} & \nu_F = \dfrac{2\alpha}{n_i+1} + 2 \\ \text{if } \ \phi = \phi_{v^i} \ \text{ or } \ \phi = \phi_{r^k} \ , & \text{then} & \nu = -1 & \nu_F = 4 \\ \text{if } \ \phi = \phi_{\omega^i} \ \text{ or } \ \phi = \phi_{s^k} \ , & \text{then} & \nu = 1 & \nu_F = 2 \ . \end{array}$$

Remark **3** A linear combination of primary differentials corresponding to the same charge ν also gives a Frobenius structure. Namely, the above construction works for

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\kappa_i\phi_{v^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\sigma_k\phi_{r^k} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\kappa_i\phi_{\omega^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\sigma_k\phi_{s^k} \ ,$$

with any constants $\{\kappa_i\}$ and $\{\sigma_k\}$. The unit vector field in these cases, respectively, is given by

$$\mathbf{e} = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\kappa_i\partial_{v^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\sigma_k\partial_{r^k}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{e} = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\kappa_i\partial_{\omega^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\sigma_k\partial_{s^k}\right) \ .$$

After a linear change of variables, the unit field can be written as $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{\xi^1}$ for a new variable ξ^1 , since the coordinates $\{v^i\}$ and $\{r^k\}$ ($\{\omega^i\}$ and $\{s^k\}$) have equal quasihomogeneity coefficients.

5 "Real doubles" of Dubrovin's Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces

In this section we consider the moduli space $\widehat{M} = \widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$ as a real manifold. The set of local coordinates is given by the set of branch points of the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ and their complex conjugates: $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_L\ ;\ \bar\lambda_1,\dots,\bar\lambda_L\}$. On the space $\widehat{M}$ with coordinates $\{\lambda_i;\bar\lambda_i\}$ we shall build a Frobenius structure in a way analogous to the one described in Section 4. The construction will be based on a family of flat metrics on $\widehat{M}(\{\lambda_i;\bar\lambda_i\})$ of the type (3.19), (3.20) with rotation coefficients given by the Schiffer and Bergman kernels. Since in genus zero the Schiffer kernel coincides with the bidifferential W and the Bergman kernel vanishes, we only get essentially new metrics (and therefore new Frobenius structures) for Hurwitz spaces in genus greater than zero.

We start with a description of a Frobenius algebra in the tangent space. The coordinates $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_L\ ;\ \bar\lambda_1,\dots,\bar\lambda_L\}$ are taken to be canonical for multiplication:

$$\partial_{\lambda_i}\cdot\partial_{\lambda_j} = \delta_{ij}\partial_{\lambda_i}\ , \tag{5.1}$$

where indices i,j range now in the set of all indices, i.e. $i,j\in\{1,\dots,L;\bar 1,\dots,\bar L\}$, and we put $\lambda_{\bar i} := \bar\lambda_i$. The unit vector field of the algebra is given by

$$\mathbf{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\partial_{\lambda_i} + \partial_{\bar\lambda_i}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

The role of an inner product of the Frobenius algebra is played by one of the metrics (3.19), (3.20). The new vector field E , analogously, is

$$E := \sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\lambda_i\partial_{\lambda_i} + \bar\lambda_i\partial_{\bar\lambda_i}\right)\ . \tag{5.3}$$

5.1 Primary differentials

Together with the multiplication (5.1), the Euler field (5.3) satisfies relation (2.5) of **F4**. Its action (2.6) on a diagonal metric takes the form:

$$E\left(\langle\partial_{\lambda_k},\partial_{\lambda_k}\rangle\right) = -\nu\langle\partial_{\lambda_k},\partial_{\lambda_k}\rangle\ ,\qquad k\in\{1,\dots,L;\bar 1,\dots,\bar L\}\ . \tag{5.4}$$

Among the metrics (3.19), (3.20) we choose, similarly to Proposition 3, those for which this condition holds.

Proposition 7 *Let the contour l in (3.19), (3.20) be either closed or connecting points ∞^i and ∞^j for some i, j . In the latter case we regularize the integral by omitting its divergent part as a function of the local parameter z_i (or as a function of $\bar z_i$) near ∞^i . Then the metrics (3.19), (3.20) with $h(Q) = C\lambda^n(Q)$ (where C is a constant) satisfy (5.4) with $\nu = 1-2n$ and the Euler field (5.3).*

Proof. The proof is the same as for Proposition 3: we use the fact that Bergman and Schiffer kernels are invariant under biholomorphic mappings of the Riemann surface. The biholomorphic map to be taken in this case is $\lambda\to(1+\epsilon)\lambda$, where ϵ is real. □

Proposition 8 *Rotation coefficients (3.21) given by the Schiffer and Bergman kernels satisfy* $E\,(\beta_{ij}) = -\beta_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, L; \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{L}\}$, *where the Euler field* E *is given by (5.3).*

Proof. This statement is a corollary of Proposition 7; it can also be proven directly by using the invariance of the kernels under the mapping of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\epsilon$, $\lambda \to (1+\epsilon)\lambda$, for $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Among the metrics $\mathbf{ds^2} = \sum_i \left(g_{ii}(d\lambda_i)^2 + g_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}(d\bar{\lambda}_i)^2 \right)$ of the form (3.19), (3.20) with $h = C\lambda^n$ and a contour l of the type required in Proposition 7 only those ones correspond to Frobenius manifolds whose coefficients satisfy $\mathbf{e}(g_{ii}) = \mathbf{e}(g_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}) = 0$ ($\mathbf{e}$ is the unit vector field (5.2)). This follows from **F2** and Lemma 1, which is obviously valid for the unit vector field (5.2) and diagonal potential metrics (3.19), (3.20). Therefore we need to find the combinations of a contour l and a function $h = C\lambda^n$ such that formulas (3.19), (3.20) give metrics whose coefficients are annihilated by the vector field $\mathbf{e}$. We list those combinations in the form of operations $\mathrm{I}[f(Q)] = \oint_l C\lambda^n f(Q)$ applied to a differential f of the form $f = f_{(1,0)} + f_{(0,1)}$. We say that a differential is of the $(1,0)$-type if in a local coordinate z it can be represented as $f_{(1,0)} = f_1(z)dz$, and is of the $(0,1)$-type if in a local coordinate it has a form $f_{(0,1)} = f_2(\bar{z})d\bar{z}$. We shall also call $f_{(1,0)}$ and $f_{(0,1)}$ the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of a differential f , respectively. We denote by $\tilde{\mathrm{res}}$ the coefficient in front of $d\bar{z}/\bar{z}$ in the Laurent expansion of a differential. As before, z_i is the local parameter in a neighbourhood of ∞^i such that $z_i^{-n_i-1}(Q) = \lambda(Q)$, $Q \sim \infty^i$.
For $i = 0, \dots, m;\ \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i$ we define:

$$\mathbf{1}.\ \mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[f(Q)] := \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} z_i^{-\alpha}(Q) f_{(1,0)}(Q) \qquad \mathbf{2}.\ \mathrm{I}_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}[f(Q)] := \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname*{\tilde{res}}_{\infty^i} \bar{z}_i^{-\alpha}(Q) f_{(0,1)}(Q)$$

$$\mathbf{3}.\ \mathrm{I}_{v^i}[f(Q)] := \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q) f_{(1,0)}(Q) \qquad \mathbf{4}.\ \mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar{i}}}[f(Q)] := \operatorname*{\tilde{res}}_{\infty^i} \bar{\lambda}(Q) f_{(0,1)}(Q)\ .$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m$ we define:

$$\mathbf{5}.\ \mathrm{I}_{w^i}[f(Q)] := \mathrm{v.p.} \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} f_{(1,0)}(Q) \qquad \mathbf{6}.\ \mathrm{I}_{w^{\bar{i}}}[f(Q)] := \mathrm{v.p.} \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} f_{(0,1)}(Q)\ .$$

As before, the principal value near infinity is defined by omitting the divergent part of an integral as a function of the corresponding local parameter.
For $k = 1, \dots, g$ we define:

$$\mathbf{7}.\ \mathrm{I}_{r^k}[f(Q)] := -\oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q) f_{(1,0)}(Q) - \oint_{a_k} \bar{\lambda}(Q) f_{(0,1)}(Q)$$

$$\mathbf{8}.\ \mathrm{I}_{u^k}[f(Q)] := \oint_{b_k} \lambda(Q) f_{(1,0)}(Q) + \oint_{b_k} \bar{\lambda}(Q) f_{(0,1)}(Q)$$

$$\mathbf{9}.\ \mathrm{I}_{s^k}[f(Q)] := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{b_k} f_{(1,0)}(Q)$$

$$\mathbf{10}.\ \mathrm{I}_{t^k}[f(Q)] := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{a_k} f_{(1,0)}(Q)\ .$$

Applying these operations to the sum of Schiffer and Bergman kernels, we shall obtain a set of primary differentials Φ , each of which gives a Darboux-Egoroff metric and a corresponding Frobenius structure. These differentials, listed below, decompose into a sum of holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts. The a-periods vanish for all primary differentials except for the differentials labeled by the index s^k ; the b-periods do not vanish only for the differentials having the index t^k . This normalization and a given type of singularity characterize a primary differential completely due to the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *If a single valued differential on a Riemann surface of the form* $w = w_{(1,0)} + w_{(0,1)}$ *has zero a- and b-periods and its parts* $w_{(1,0)}$ *and* $w_{(0,1)}$ *are everywhere analytic with respect to local parameters* z *and* $\bar{z}$ *, respectively, then the differential* w *is zero.*

Proof. Since the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of the differential must be regular and single valued on the surface, we can write w in the form: $w = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \alpha_k \omega_k + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \beta_k \overline{\omega_k}$, where $\{\omega_i\}$ are holomorphic normalized differentials. The vanishing of a-periods gives $\alpha_k = -\beta_k$ and vanishing of b-periods implies that all α_k should be zero. □

We list primary differentials together with their characteristic properties. A proof that the differentials have the given properties is essentially contained in the proof of Theorem 2.

Let us fix a point P_0 on $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\lambda(P_0) = 0$, and let all the basic cycles $\{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^{g}$ on the surface start at this point. This enables us to change the order of integration in expressions of the type $\oint_{b_k} \oint_{a_k} \lambda(P)\Omega(P,Q)$ (this can be checked by a local calculation of the integral near the point P_0) and compute a- and b-periods of the following primary differentials.

For $i = 0, \ldots, m$; $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n_i$:

1. $\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}} \left[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right] \qquad \sim (z_i^{-\alpha-1} + \mathcal{O}(1))dz_i + \mathcal{O}(1)d\bar{z}_i \ , \quad P \sim \infty^i$.

2. $\Phi_{\overline{t^{i;\alpha}}}(P) = \overline{\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P)}$.

For $i = 1, \ldots, m$:

3. $\Phi_{v^i}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{v^i} \left[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right] \qquad \sim - d\lambda + \mathcal{O}(1)\,(dz_i + d\bar{z}_i) \ , \quad P \sim \infty^i$.

4. $\Phi_{v^{\bar{i}}}(P) = \overline{\Phi_{v^i}(P)}$.

5. $\Phi_{w^i}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{w^i} \left[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right]$; $\qquad \operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} \Phi_{w^i} = 1$; $\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^0} \Phi_{w^i} = -1$.

6. $\Phi_{w^{\bar{i}}}(P) = \overline{\Phi_{w^i}(P)}$.

For $k = 1, \ldots, g$:

7. $\Phi_{r^k}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{r^k} \left[2\mathrm{Re}\left\{\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right\}\right]$; $\qquad \Phi_{r^k}(P^{b_k}) - \Phi_{r^k}(P) = 2\pi i d\lambda - 2\pi i d\bar{\lambda}$.

8. $\Phi_{u^k}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{u^k} \left[2\mathrm{Re}\left\{\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right\}\right]$; $\qquad \Phi_{u^k}(P^{a_k}) - \Phi_{u^k}(P) = 2\pi i d\lambda - 2\pi i d\bar{\lambda}$.

9. $\Phi_{s^k}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{s^k} \left[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right]$; $\qquad$ no singularities.

10. $\Phi_{t^k}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{t^k} \left[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar{P},Q)\right]$; $\qquad$ no singularities.

Here, as before, $\lambda = \lambda(P)$ and $z_i = z_i(P)$ is the local parameter at $P \sim \infty^i$ such that $\lambda = z_i^{-n_i-1}$.

Note that due to properties (3.10) of the Schiffer and Bergman kernels and the choice of the point P_0 (see the proof of Theorem 2), only the primary differentials of the last two types have non-zero a- and b-periods. Let us denote an arbitrary differential from the list by Φ_{ξ^A} ; then the following holds:

$$\oint_{a_\alpha} \Phi_{\xi^A} = \delta_{\xi^A, s^\alpha} \ ; \qquad \oint_{b_\alpha} \Phi_{\xi^A} = \delta_{\xi^A, t^\alpha}$$

(δ is the Kronecker symbol). The number of primary differentials is $2L$ by virtue of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (3.1).

Each of the primary differentials Φ defines a metric of the type (3.19), (3.20) by the formula:

$$\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi^2_{(1,0)}(P_i)(d\lambda_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L} \Phi^2_{(0,1)}(P_i)(d\bar\lambda_i)^2 \ , \tag{5.5}$$

where $\Phi_{(1,0)}$ and $\Phi_{(0,1)}$ are, respectively, the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of the differential Φ . The evaluation of differentials at a ramification point P_i is done with respect to the standard local parameter $x_i = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_i}$, i.e. $\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i) = (\Phi_{(1,0)}(P)/dx_i(P))\,|_{P=P_i}$. As is easy to see, metrics of the type (3.20) correspond to differentials $\Phi = \Phi_{u^k}$ and $\Phi = \Phi_{r^k}$.

Proposition 9 *Primary differentials satisfy the following relations:*

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i)\right) = 0 \ , \qquad \mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i)\right) = 0 \ , \tag{5.6}$$

for any ramification point P_i *.*

The proposition implies that the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ (5.2) annihilates coefficients of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi$ (5.5).

Proof. Consider the covering $\mathcal{L}^\delta_\lambda$ obtained from $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ by a δ-shift of the points of every sheet, choosing $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$; this shift maps the point P of the surface to the point P^δ which belongs to the same sheet and for which $\lambda(P^\delta) = \lambda(P) + \delta$. Denote by Ω^δ and B^δ the corresponding kernels on $\mathcal{L}^\delta_\lambda$. They are invariant with respect to biholomorphic mappings of the Riemann surface, i.e. $\Omega^\delta(P^\delta, Q^\delta) = \Omega(P,Q)$, and $B^\delta(P^\delta, Q^\delta) = B(P,Q)$. The local parameters near ramification points also do not change: $x_i(P) = x_i^\delta(P) = \sqrt{\lambda(P) - \lambda_i}$. Therefore for differentials Φ_{ω^i} , $\Phi_{\omega^{\bar i}}$, Φ_{s^k} , and Φ_{t^k} , the statement of proposition follows immediately from this invariance. For them we have, for example,

$$\Phi^\delta_{\omega^i{}_{(1,0)}}(P^\delta_j) = \Phi_{\omega^i{}_{(1,0)}}(P_j) \ ; \qquad \Phi^\delta_{\omega^i{}_{(0,1)}}(P^\delta_j) = \Phi_{\omega^i{}_{(0,1)}}(P_j) \ .$$

Differentiation of these equalities with respect to δ at $\delta = 0$ gives the action of the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ (5.2) on the differential in the left and zero in the right side.

Consider now the differential $\tilde\Phi(P) = -\oint_{a_k} \lambda(Q)\Omega(P,Q) - \oint_{a_k} \bar\lambda(Q)B(P,\bar Q)$, which is related to the differential Φ_{r^k} as follows: $\Phi_{r^k}(P) = 2\mathrm{Re}\{\tilde\Phi(P)\}$. On the shifted covering $\mathcal{L}^\delta_\lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\Phi^\delta(P^\delta_i) &= -\oint_{a^\delta_k} \lambda(Q^\delta)\Omega^\delta(P^\delta_i, Q^\delta) - \oint_{a^\delta_k} \bar\lambda(Q^\delta)B^\delta(P^\delta_i, \bar{Q^\delta}) \\ &= -\oint_{a_k} (\lambda(Q)+\delta)\Omega(P_i,Q) - \oint_{a_k} (\bar\lambda(Q)+\delta)B(P_i,\bar Q) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Differentiating both sides of this equality with respect to δ at $\delta = 0$ and using the property (3.10) of the Schiffer and Bergman kernels, we prove formulas (5.6) for the differentials Φ_{r^k}; the proof for Φ_{u^k} is analogous.

To prove (5.6) for the remaining differentials consider the local parameter z_i near infinity ∞^i ; under the δ-shift it transforms as follows:

$$z_i^{-\alpha}(P^\delta) \underset{\delta\sim 0}{=} (\lambda(P)+\delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} = z_i^{-\alpha}(P) + \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}(z_i(P))^{-\alpha+n_i+1}\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \ .$$

Therefore $\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P_j)$ on the covering $\mathcal{L}^\delta_\lambda$ is given by

$$\Phi^\delta_{t^{i;\alpha}}(P^\delta_j) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i}\left(z_i^{-\alpha}(P) + \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}(z_i(P))^{-\alpha+n_i+1}\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)\right)\left(\Omega(P,P_j) + B(P,\bar P_j)\right) \ .$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to δ at $\delta = 0$, we get

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}(1,0)}(P_j)\right) = \frac{1}{n_i+1}\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} (z_i(P))^{-\alpha+n_i+1}\Omega(P,P_j) \ ,$$

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}(0,1)}(P_j)\right) = \frac{1}{n_i+1}\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} (z_i(P))^{-\alpha+n_i+1}B(P,\bar{P}_j) \ .$$

The right sides are zero for non-negative powers of z_i , i.e. for $\alpha = 1,\dots,n_i+1$. This proves the statement of the proposition for differentials $\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}$ and Φ_{v^i} ($\alpha = n_i+1$ corresponds up to a constant to the case of differential Φ_{v^i}). □

Remark **4** This calculation also shows that differentials $\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}$ for $i = 0,\dots,m$; $\alpha = 1,\dots,n_i$ and Φ_{v^i} for $i = 1,\dots,m$ give the full set of primary differentials of the type $\oint_l C\lambda^n(\Omega(P,Q)+B(P,Q))$ for l being a small contour encircling one of the infinities.

Note that we cannot consider $\Phi_{v^0}(P)$ as an independent differential due to the relation $\sum_{i=0}^m \Phi_{v^i}(P) = -(m+1)d\lambda(P)$, where $d\lambda(P) = d\zeta$ is a differential on $\mathbb{C}P^1$, the base of the covering.

Thus, we have constructed $2L$ differentials (see the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (3.1)); each of them gives by formula (5.5) a Darboux-Egoroff metric which satisfies **F2** ($\nabla\mathbf{e} = 0$), and on which the Euler field acts according to (2.6) from **F4**.

Our next goal is to find a set of flat coordinates for each of the metrics (5.5).

5.2 Flat coordinates

Let us write the Christoffel symbols of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi$ (5.5) in terms of the corresponding primary differential Φ . We shall use the following lemma which can be proven by a simple calculation using the definition of primary differentials and variational formulas (3.11) for the Schiffer and Bergman kernels.

Lemma 3 *The derivatives of primary differentials with respect to canonical coordinates are given by*

$$\frac{\partial\Phi_{\xi^A}(P)}{\partial\lambda_k} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)}(P_k)\left(\Omega(P,P_k)+B(\bar{P},P_k)\right) \tag{5.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial\Phi_{\xi^A}(P)}{\partial\bar{\lambda}_k} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}(P_k)\left(B(P,\bar{P}_k)+\overline{\Omega(P,P_k)}\right) \ . \tag{5.9}$$

Then non-vanishing Christoffel symbols of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi$ can be expressed as follows in terms of the primary differential Φ and rotation coefficients β_{ij} (3.21):

$$\Gamma^j_{jk} = \beta_{jk}\frac{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_k)}{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_j)} = -\Gamma^i_{kk} \ ; \qquad \Gamma^j_{j\bar{k}} = \beta_{j\bar{k}}\frac{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_k)}{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_j)} = -\Gamma^j_{\bar{k}\bar{k}} \ ; \qquad \Gamma^{\bar{j}}_{\bar{j}k} = \beta_{\bar{j}k}\frac{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_k)}{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_j)} = -\Gamma^{\bar{j}}_{kk} \ ;$$
$$\Gamma^{\bar{j}}_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = \beta_{\bar{j}\bar{k}}\frac{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_k)}{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_j)} = -\Gamma^j_{\bar{k}\bar{k}} \ ; \qquad \Gamma^j_{jj} = -\sum_{l\neq j}\Gamma^j_{jl} \ . \tag{5.10}$$

Note that in the last formula, the index of summation l runs through the set $\{1,\dots,L;\bar{1},\dots,\bar{L}\}$.

Flat coordinates can be found from the system of differential equation (4.12). Due to formulas (5.10), this system can be rewritten as follows:

$$\partial_{\lambda_j}\partial_{\lambda_k} t = \Gamma^j_{jk}\partial_{\lambda_j} t + \Gamma^k_{jk}\partial_{\lambda_k} t \,, \qquad j \neq k \in \{1, \dots, L, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{L}\} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\mathbf{e}(t) = \text{const}\,. \tag{5.12}$$

Substituting expressions (5.10) for Christoffel symbols into system (5.11) and using Lemma 3, one proves the next theorem by a straightforward computation.

Theorem 6 *The following functions (and their linear combinations) satisfy system (5.11):*

$$t_1 = \oint_{l_1} h_1(\lambda(P))\Phi_{(1,0)}(P) \quad \textit{and} \quad t_2 = \oint_{l_2} h_2(\bar{\lambda}(P))\Phi_{(0,1)}(P)\,, \tag{5.13}$$

where l_1 , l_2 *are two arbitrary contours on the surface* $\mathcal{L}$ *which do not pass through ramification points and are such that their images* $\lambda(l_1)$ *and* $\lambda(l_2)$ *in* ζ*-plane do not depend on* $\{\lambda_k; \bar{\lambda}_k\}$; *arbitrary functions* h_1 , h_2 *are defined in some neighbourhoods of* l_1 *and* l_2 , *respectively, and are also independent of the coordinates* $\{\lambda_k; \bar{\lambda}_k\}$. *The integration is regularized by omitting the divergent part where needed.*

Among solutions (5.13) we need to isolate those which satisfy equation (5.12), the second part of the system identifying flat coordinates. The operations I_{ξ^A} applied to the differential $\Phi(P)$ give functions of the form (5.13), and it turns out that flat coordinates can be obtained in this way. Namely, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 7 *Let* P_0 *be a marked point on* $\mathcal{L}$ *such that* $\lambda(P_0) = 0$. *Let all the basic cycles* $\{a_k, b_k\}_{k=1}^g$ *start at the point* P_0 . *Then the following functions give a set of flat coordinates of the metric* $\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi$ *(5.5).*

For $i = 0, \dots, m$; $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_i$:

$$t^{i;\alpha} := -(n_i+1)\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;1+n_i-\alpha}}[\Phi] = \frac{n_i+1}{\alpha-n_i-1}\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i} z_i^{\alpha-n_i-1}\Phi_{(1,0)}\,;$$

$$\overline{t^{i;\alpha}} := -(n_i+1)\mathrm{I}_{\overline{t^{i;1+n_i-\alpha}}}[\Phi] = \frac{n_i+1}{\alpha-n_i-1}\operatorname*{r\tilde{e}s}_{\infty^i} \bar{z}_i^{\alpha-n_i-1}\Phi_{(0,1)}\,.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m$:

$$v^i := -\mathrm{I}_{w^i}[\Phi] = -\text{v.p.}\int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i}\Phi_{(1,0)}\,; \qquad v^{\bar{i}} := -\mathrm{I}_{w^{\bar{i}}}[\Phi] = -\text{v.p.}\int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i}\Phi_{(0,1)}\,;$$

$$w^i := -\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[\Phi] = -\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i}\lambda\Phi_{(1,0)}\,; \qquad w^{\bar{i}} := -\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar{i}}}[\Phi] = -\operatorname*{r\tilde{e}s}_{\infty^i}\bar{\lambda}\Phi_{(0,1)}\,.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, g$:

$$r^k := \mathrm{I}_{s^k}[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{b_k}\Phi_{(1,0)}\,; \qquad u^k := -\mathrm{I}_{t^k}[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{a_k}\Phi_{(1,0)}\,;$$

$$s^k := \mathrm{I}_{r^k}[\Phi] = -\oint_{a_k}\left(\lambda\Phi_{(1,0)} + \bar{\lambda}\Phi_{(0,1)}\right)\,; \qquad t^k := -\mathrm{I}_{u^k}[\Phi] = -\oint_{b_k}\left(\lambda\Phi_{(1,0)} + \bar{\lambda}\Phi_{(0,1)}\right)\,.$$

As before, we use the notation $\operatorname{r\tilde{e}s} f := \overline{\operatorname{res} \bar{f}}$.

Let us denote the flat coordinates by ξ^A , *i.e. we assume*

$$\xi^A \in \{t^{i;\alpha}, \overline{t^{i;\alpha}}\,;\; v^i\,,\; v^{\bar{i}}\,,\; w^i\,,\; w^{\bar{i}}\,;\; r^k\,,\; u^k\,,\; s^k\,,\; t^k\}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, m$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_i$; $k = 1, \dots, g$ *(except* v^0, $v^{\bar{0}}$ *and* w^0, $w^{\bar{0}}$, *which do not exist).*

Proof. Theorem 6 implies that these functions satisfy equations (5.11). The remaining equations (5.12), $\mathbf{e}(\xi^A) = \text{const}$, can be proven by the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 9. □

Note that the action of the unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ (5.2) on a coordinate ξ^A is non-zero if and only if the type of the coordinate coincides with the type of the primary differential which defines the metric. I.e. for the metric $\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}$ with $\Phi = \Phi_{\xi^{A_0}}$ the coordinate ξ^{A_0} is naturally marked and we shall denote it by ξ^1 . One can prove that, for any choice of Φ , the corresponding coordinate ξ^1 is such that relations $\mathbf{e}(\xi^1) = -1$ and $\mathbf{e}(\xi^A) = 0$ hold for $\xi^A \neq \xi^1$. Therefore we have $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{\xi^1}$ (see also Proposition 10 below).

Remark **5** By virtue of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (see Section 3.1), the number of functions listed in the theorem equals $2L$, i.e. coincides with the number of canonical coordinates $\{\lambda_i; \bar{\lambda}_i\}$.

The next theorem gives an expression for the metric $\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}$ in coordinates $\{\xi^A\}$ and by that shows again that functions $\{\xi^A\left(\{\lambda_k; \bar{\lambda}_k\}\right)\}$ are independent and play the role of flat coordinates of the metric.

Theorem 8 *In coordinates $\{\xi^A\}$ from Theorem 7 the metric $\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}$ (5.5) is given by a constant matrix whose non-zero entries are the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}}, \partial_{t^{j;\beta}}\right) = \mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}, \partial_{t^{\overline{j;\beta}}}\right) = \frac{1}{n_i+1}\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,n_i+1} \ ,\\
&\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{v^i}, \partial_{\omega^j}\right) = \mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{v^{\bar{i}}}, \partial_{\omega^{\bar{j}}}\right) = \delta_{ij} \ ,\\
&\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{r^i}, \partial_{s^j}\right) = -\delta_{ij} \ ,\\
&\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi}\left(\partial_{u^i}, \partial_{t^j}\right) = \delta_{ij} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

We shall prove this theorem later, after introducing a pairing of differentials (5.24).

To further investigate properties of the flat coordinates let us choose one of the primary differentials Φ and build a multivalued differential on the surface $\mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$$\Psi(P) = \left(\text{v.p.}\int_{\infty^0}^{P}\Phi_{(1,0)}\right)d\lambda + \left(\text{v.p.}\int_{\infty^0}^{P}\Phi_{(0,1)}\right)d\bar{\lambda} \ . \tag{5.14}$$

This differential will play a role similar to the role of the differential $pd\lambda$ in the construction of Dubrovin (see formula (4.19) for prepotential). Note that $\Psi(P)$ decomposes into a sum of holomorphic and antiholomorphic differentials: $\Psi = \Psi_{(1,0)} + \Psi_{(0,1)}$.

Theorem 9 *The derivatives of the multivalued differential Ψ (5.14) with respect to flat coordinates $\{\xi^A\}$ are given by the corresponding primary differentials:*

$$\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\xi^A} = \Phi_{\xi^A} \ .$$

Proof. Consider an expansion of the differential Ψ in a neighbourhood of one of the infinities ∞^i on the surface. We omit the singular part which does not depend on coordinates. As before, z_i is a local coordinate in a neighbourhood of the i-th infinity, n_i is the corresponding ramification index. For $i \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(P) \underset{P\sim\infty^i}{=} \text{singular part} &+ \left(v^i(n_i+1)z_i^{-n_i-2} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} t^{i;\alpha}z_i^{-\alpha-1} + w^i z_i^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right)dz_i\\
&+ \left(v^{\bar{i}}(n_i+1)\bar{z}_i^{-n_i-2} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}\bar{z}_i^{-\alpha-1} + w^{\bar{i}}\bar{z}_i^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right)d\bar{z}_i \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

We see that the expansion coefficients of the singular part are exactly the flat coordinates of the metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$. The coordinates $t^{0;\alpha},\ \alpha = 1, \dots, n_0$ appear similarly in expansion at the infinity ∞^0 . The remaining coordinates ξ^A correspond to other characteristics of the multivalued differential Ψ . Namely, we have

$$\oint_{a_k} \Psi = s^k \ , \qquad\qquad \oint_{b_k} \Psi = t^k \ ; \tag{5.16}$$

$$\Psi(P^{a_k}) - \Psi(P) = 2\pi i u^k d\lambda - 2\pi i u^k d\bar{\lambda} + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{s^k}} d\bar{\lambda} + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{u^k}}(2\pi i d\lambda - 2\pi i d\bar{\lambda}) \ , \tag{5.17}$$

$$\Psi(P^{b_k}) - \Psi(P) = 2\pi i r^k d\lambda - 2\pi i r^k d\bar{\lambda} + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{t^k}} d\bar{\lambda} + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{r^k}}(2\pi i d\lambda - 2\pi i d\bar{\lambda}) \ , \tag{5.18}$$

where $\Psi(P^{a_k})$, $\Psi(P^{b_k})$ stand for the analytic continuation of $\Psi(P)$ along the corresponding cycles of the Riemann surface.

This parameterization of the differential Ψ by the flat coordinates, together with Lemma 2, proves the theorem. □

As a corollary we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4 *The derivatives of canonical coordinates* $\{\lambda_i\, ; \bar{\lambda}_i\}$ *with respect to flat coordinates* $\{\xi^A\}$ *of the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ *are as follows*

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \xi^A} = -\frac{\Phi_{\xi^A (1,0)}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i)} \ , \qquad\qquad \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i}{\partial \xi^A} = -\frac{\Phi_{\xi^A (0,1)}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i)} \ ,$$

where $\Phi(P)$ *is the primary differential which defines the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$.

Proof. Theorem 9 implies the following relations:

$$\partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \left(\int_{\infty^0}^P \Phi_{(1,0)} \right) d\lambda \right\} = \Phi_{\xi^A (1,0)} \ , \qquad \partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \left(\int_{\infty^0}^P \Phi_{(0,1)} \right) d\bar{\lambda} \right\} = \Phi_{\xi^A (0,1)} \ . \tag{5.19}$$

(The divergent terms which we omit by taking the principal value of the integrals in a neighbourhood of ∞^0 do not depend on $\{\xi^A\}$.) We shall use the so-called thermodynamical identity

$$\partial_\alpha (f dg)_{g=const} = -\partial_\alpha (g df)_{f=const} \tag{5.20}$$

for f being a function of another function g and some parameters $\{p_\alpha\}$, i.e. $f = f(g; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where g can be expressed locally as a function of f , i.e. $g = g(f; p_1, \dots, p_n)$; ∂_α denotes the derivative with respect to one of the parameters $p = \{p_\alpha\}$. Relation (5.20) can be proven by differentiation of the identity $f(g(f;p);p) \equiv f$ with respect to a parameter p_α , which gives $\partial_\alpha g df/dg + \partial_\alpha f = 0$. We use the thermodynamical identity (5.20) for functions $f(P) = \int_{\infty^0}^P \Phi_{(1,0)}$ and $g(P) = \lambda(P)$ to get

$$\partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \int_{\infty^0}^P \Phi_{(1,0)} \right\} d\lambda = -\partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \lambda(P) \right\} \Phi_{(1,0)}(P) \ ,$$

and similarly,

$$\partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \int_{\infty^0}^P \Phi_{(0,1)} \right\} d\bar{\lambda} = -\partial_{\xi^A}\left\{ \bar{\lambda}(P) \right\} \Phi_{(0,1)}(P) \ .$$

Evaluating these relations at the critical points $P = P_i$, using that $\lambda'(P_i) = 0$ and equalities (5.19), we prove the lemma. □

Proposition 10 *The unit vector field (5.2) is a tangent vector field in the direction of one of the flat coordinates. Namely, in flat coordinates of the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ *(5.5) corresponding to the primary differential* $\Phi = \Phi_{\xi^{A_0}}$ *, the unit vector of the Frobenius algebra is given by* $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{\xi^{A_0}}$ *.*

Let us denote the marked coordinate by ξ^1 so that $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{\xi^1}$.

Proof. This can be verified by a simple calculation using the chain rule $\partial_{\xi^1} = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial\lambda_i}{\partial\xi^1}\partial_{\lambda_i} + \frac{\partial\bar\lambda_i}{\partial\xi^1}\partial_{\bar\lambda_i}\right)$ and expressions for $\partial\lambda_i/\partial\xi^1$ provided by Lemma 4. □

5.3 Prepotentials of new Frobenius structures

A prepotential of the Frobenius structure which corresponds to a primary differential Φ is a function $F_\Phi(\{\xi^A\})$ of flat coordinates of the metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ such that its third derivatives are given by the tensor $\mathbf{c}$ from **F3**:

$$\frac{\partial^3 F_\Phi(\xi)}{\partial\xi^A\partial\xi^B\partial\xi^C} = \mathbf{c}(\partial_{\xi^A},\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^C}) = \mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{\xi^A}\cdot\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^C}\right) \ . \tag{5.21}$$

We shall construct a prepotential F_Φ for each primary differential Φ . This will prove that **F3** (symmetry of the tensor $(\nabla_{\xi^A}\mathbf{c})(\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^C},\partial_{\xi^D})$) holds in our construction. In order to write an expression for prepotential we define a new pairing of multivalued differentials as follows.

Let $\omega^{(\alpha)}(P)$, $\alpha = 1,2\dots$ be a differential on $\mathcal{L}$ which can be decomposed into a sum of holomorphic ($\omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}$) and antiholomorphic ($\omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}$) parts, $\omega^{(\alpha)} = \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)} + \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}$, which are analytic outside infinities and have the following behaviour at $P\sim\infty^i$ (we write λ for $\lambda(P)$, and $z_i = z_i(P)$ for a local parameter $z_i^{-n_i-1} = \lambda$ at $P\sim\infty^i$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}(P) &= \sum_{n=-n_1^{(\alpha)}}^{\infty} c^{(\alpha)}_{n,i} z_i^n dz_i + \frac{1}{n_i+1} d\left(\sum_{n>0} r^{(\alpha)}_{n,i}\lambda^n\log\lambda\right) \ , \\
\omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}(P) &= \sum_{n=-n_2^{(\alpha)}}^{\infty} c^{(\alpha)}_{\bar n,i} \bar z_i^n d\bar z_i + \frac{1}{n_i+1} d\left(\sum_{n>0} r^{(\alpha)}_{\bar n,i}\bar\lambda^n\log\bar\lambda\right) \ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{5.22}$$

where $n_1^{(\alpha)}, n_2^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$; and $c^{(\alpha)}_{n,i}$, $r^{(\alpha)}_{n,i}$, $c^{(\alpha)}_{\bar n,i}$, $r^{(\alpha)}_{\bar n,i}$ are some coefficients. Denote also for $k = 1,\dots,g$:

$$A^{(\alpha)}_k := \oint_{a_k}\omega^{(\alpha)} \ , \qquad B^{(\alpha)}_k := \oint_{b_k}\omega^{(\alpha)} \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
dp^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda(P)) &:= \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}(P^{a_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}(P) \ , \qquad p^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} p^{(\alpha)}_{sk}\lambda^s \ , \\
dp^{(\alpha)}_{\bar k}(\bar\lambda(P)) &:= \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}(P^{a_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}(P) \ , \qquad p^{(\alpha)}_{\bar k}(\bar\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} p^{(\alpha)}_{s\bar k}\bar\lambda^s \ , \\
dq^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda(P)) &:= \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}(P^{b_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(1,0)}(P) \ , \qquad q^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} q^{(\alpha)}_{sk}\lambda^s \ , \\
dq^{(\alpha)}_{\bar k}(\bar\lambda(P)) &:= \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}(P^{b_k}) - \omega^{(\alpha)}_{(0,1)}(P) \ , \qquad q^{(\alpha)}_{\bar k}(\bar\lambda) = \sum_{s>0} q^{(\alpha)}_{s\bar k}\bar\lambda^s \ .
\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

Note that all primary differentials and the differential $\Psi(P)$ have singularity structures which are described by (5.22) - (5.23). For $\omega^{(\alpha)}$ being one of the primary differentials, the coefficients

$c_{n,i}$, $r_{n,i}$, $c_{\bar{n},i}$, $r_{\bar{n},i}$, A_k , B_k , p_{sk} , q_{sk} , $p_{\bar{s}k}$, $q_{\bar{s}k}$ do not depend on coordinates on the Hurwitz space.

Definition 6 *For two differentials* $\omega^{(\alpha)}$, $\omega^{(\beta)}$ *having singularities of the type (5.22), (5.23), we define the* **pairing** $\mathcal{F}[\ ,\ ]$ *as follows:*

$$\mathcal{F}[\omega^{(\alpha)}\ ,\ \omega^{(\beta)}] = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{c^{(\alpha)}_{-n-2,i}}{n+1} c^{(\beta)}_{n,i} + c^{(\alpha)}_{-1,i} \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} - \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \sum_{n>0} r^{(\alpha)}_{n,i} \lambda^n \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{c^{(\alpha)}_{\overline{-n-2},i}}{n+1} c^{(\beta)}_{\bar{n},i} + c^{(\alpha)}_{-\bar{1},i} \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \omega^{(\beta)}_{(0,1)} - \text{v.p.} \int_{P_0}^{\infty^i} \sum_{n>0} r^{(\alpha)}_{\bar{n},i} \bar{\lambda}^n \omega^{(\beta)}_{(0,1)} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(- \oint_{a_k} q^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda) \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} + \oint_{a_k} q^{(\alpha)}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\lambda}) \omega^{(\beta)}_{(0,1)} + \oint_{b_k} p^{(\alpha)}_k(\lambda) \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} \right.$$
$$\left. - \oint_{b_k} p^{(\alpha)}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\lambda}) \omega^{(\beta)}_{(0,1)} + A^{(\alpha)}_k \oint_{b_k} \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} - B^{(\alpha)}_k \oint_{a_k} \omega^{(\beta)}_{(1,0)} \right) . \qquad (5.24)$$

As before, P_0 *is the marked point on* $\mathcal{L}$ *such that* $\lambda(P_0) = 0$, *and the cycles* $\{a_k, b_k\}$ *all pass through* P_0 .

From this definition one can see that if the first differential in the pairing is one of the primary differentials Φ_{ξ^A} then this pairing gives the corresponding operation I_{ξ^A} applied to the second differential:

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}, \omega] = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\omega] . \qquad (5.25)$$

Theorem 10 *The pairing (5.24) is commutative for all primary differentials except for differentials* Φ_{t^k} *and* Φ_{s^k}, $k = 1, \ldots, g$ *which commute up to a constant:*

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{s^k}, \Phi_{t^k}] = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{t^k}, \Phi_{s^k}] - \frac{1}{2\pi i} . \qquad (5.26)$$

Proof. Due to the relation (5.25) we should compare the action of superpositions of operations $\mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}\mathrm{I}_{\xi^B}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\xi^B}\mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}$ on the sum of Schiffer and Bergman kernels. This sum is only singular when the points P and Q coincide. Therefore among the operations I_{ω^i}, $\mathrm{I}_{\omega^{\bar{i}}}$, I_{r^k}, I_{u^k}, I_{s^k}, I_{t^k} those ones commute, being applied to $\Omega(P,Q) + B(P,Q)$, which are given by integrals over non-intersecting contours on the surface. In the set of contours used in the definition of the operations I_{ξ^A} , the only contours that intersect each other are the basis cycles a_k and b_k . A simple local calculation in a neighbourhood of the intersection point P_0 shows that the order of integration can be changed in the integral $\oint_{a_k} \oint_{b_k} \lambda(P)\Omega(P,Q)$ due to the assumption $\lambda(P_0) = 0$. Therefore the only non-commuting operations, among the mentioned above, are I_{s^k} and I_{t^k} . The difference in (5.26) can be computed using formulas (3.3) for integrals of the bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ over a- and b-cycles.

By a similar reasoning one can see that operations of the type $\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}$, $\mathrm{I}_{t^{\bar{i};\alpha}}$, I_{v^i} and $\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar{i}}}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, m$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n_i$ commute with the previous ones. They commute with each other due to the symmetry properties of the kernels. □

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 8, which gives the metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ in flat coordinates.

Proof of Theorem 8. For computation of the metric on vectors ∂_{ξ^A} we shall use the relation

$$\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}(\partial_{\xi^A}, \partial_{\xi^B}) = \mathbf{e}\left(\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}, \Phi_{\xi^B}]\right) \ , \tag{5.27}$$

which we prove first.

Using Lemma 4, we express the vectors ∂_{ξ^A} via canonical tangent vectors:

$$\partial_{\xi^A} = -\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\frac{\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i)}\partial_{\lambda_i} + \frac{\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i)}\partial_{\bar\lambda_i}\right) \ . \tag{5.28}$$

Therefore for the metric (5.5) we obtain:

$$\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}(\partial_{\xi^A}, \partial_{\xi^B}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i) + \Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\right) \ . \tag{5.29}$$

For computation of the right-hand side of (5.27) we note that, in the pairing of two primary differentials, only contribution of the second one depends on coordinates, therefore we have

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}, \Phi_{\xi^B}]\right) = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}, \mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{\xi^B}\right)] \ . \tag{5.30}$$

The action of the vector field $\mathbf{e}$ on primary differentials is provided by Lemma 3. From (5.25) we know that the pairing in the right side of (5.30) is just the operation I_{ξ^A} applied to $\mathbf{e}(\Phi_{\xi^B})$. Therefore in the right-hand side of (5.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L}\Big(\Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i)\mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}\left[\Omega(P,P_i) + B(\bar P, P_i)\right] + \Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}\left[B(P,\bar P_i) + \overline{\Omega(P,P_i)}\right]\Big) \\ = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(1,0)}}(P_i) + \Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B{}_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\right) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

Together with (5.29), this proves (5.27).

Now let us compute $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{r^i}, \partial_{\xi^A}\right)$. According to (5.27) we need to compute the action of the unit field $\mathbf{e}$ on the following quantity

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{r^i}, \Phi_{\xi^A}] \equiv \mathrm{I}_{r^i}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] = -\oint_{a_i}\lambda(P)\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(1,0)}}(P) - \oint_{a_i}\bar\lambda(P)\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(0,1)}}(P) \ .$$

Let's again consider the biholomorphic map of the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \to {\mathcal{L}_\lambda}^\delta$ performed by a simultaneous δ-shift ($\delta \in \mathbb{R}$) of the points on all sheets (see proof of Proposition 9). Since

$$\Phi^\delta_{\xi^A}(P^\delta) = \Phi_{\xi^A}(P) \tag{5.32}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}\left(\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{r^i}, \Phi_{\xi^A}]\right) = \frac{d}{d\delta}|_{\delta=0}\Big(-\oint_{a_i}(\lambda(P)+\delta)\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(1,0)}}(P) - \oint_{a_i}(\bar\lambda(P)+\delta)\Phi_{\xi^A{}_{(0,1)}}(P)\Big) \\ = -\oint_{a_i}\Phi_{\xi^A}(P) = -\delta_{\xi^A, s^i} \ .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{r^i},\partial_{\xi^A}\right) = -\delta_{\xi^A,s^i}$. Analogously we prove that $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{u^i},\partial_{\xi^A}\right) = \delta_{\xi^A,t^i}$. To compute the remaining coefficients of the metric consider the operator $\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{e} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\mathbf{e}$. It annihilates any primary differential:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{e}\left(\Phi_{\xi^A}(P)\right) = 0 \tag{5.33}$$

as can be proven by differentiation $d/d\delta|_{\delta=0}$ of the equality (5.32). Therefore, applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{e}$ to the expansion of the multivalued differential Ψ_{ξ^A} near the point ∞^i , we obtain the following relation for the corresponding (see (5.22)) coefficients $c_{l,i}$:

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\overset{\Phi_{\xi^A}}{c}_{l,i}\right) = \frac{l+1}{n_i+1}\overset{\Phi_{\xi^A}}{c}_{l-n_i-1,i} \ .$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}},\partial_{\xi^A}\right) = \mathbf{e}\left(\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}]\right) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\overset{\Phi_{\xi^A}}{c}_{\alpha-1,i}\right) = \frac{1}{n_i+1}\delta_{\xi^A,t^{i;1+n_i-\alpha}} \ ,$$

and $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}\left(\partial_{v^i},\partial_{\xi^A}\right) = \mathbf{e}\left(\overset{\Phi_{\xi^A}}{c}_{n_i,i}\right) = \overset{\Phi_{\xi^A}}{c}_{-1,i} = \delta_{\xi^A,\omega^i}$. Thus, we computed the entries of the matrix listed in the theorem and proved that they are the only non-zero ones. □

Formulas (5.28) and (5.29) yield the following expression for the tensor $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}(\partial_{\xi^A}\cdot\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^C})$ (compare with expression (4.20) for the tensor $\mathbf{c}$ of Dubrovin's construction):

$$\mathbf{c}(\partial_{\xi^A},\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^C}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(\frac{\Phi_{\xi^A_{(1,0)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B_{(1,0)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^C_{(1,0)}}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i)}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{\Phi_{\xi^A_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^B_{(0,1)}}(P_i)\Phi_{\xi^C_{(0,1)}}(P_i)}{\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i)}\right) \ . \tag{5.34}$$

The next theorem gives a prepotential of the Frobenius manifold, a function of flat coordinates $\{\xi^A\}$, which, according to Theorem 1, solves the WDVV system.

Theorem 11 *For each primary differential* Φ *consider the differential* $\Psi(P)$ *(5.14), multivalued on the surface* $\mathcal{L}$ *. For the Frobenius structure defined on the manifold* $\widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}(\{\lambda_i;\bar{\lambda}_i\})$ *by the metric* $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ *(5.5), multiplication law (5.1), and Euler field (5.3), the prepotential* F_Φ *is given by the pairing (5.24) of the differential* Ψ *with itself:*

$$F_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}[\Psi \ , \ \Psi] \ . \tag{5.35}$$

The second order derivatives of the prepotential are given by

$$\partial_{\xi^A}\partial_{\xi^B}F_\Phi = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A} \ , \ \Phi_{\xi^B}] - \frac{1}{4\pi i}\delta_{\xi^A,s^k}\delta_{\xi^B,t^k} + \frac{1}{4\pi i}\delta_{\xi^A,t^k}\delta_{\xi^B,s^k} \ , \tag{5.36}$$

where δ *is the Kronecker symbol.*

Proof. To prove that the function F_Φ is a prepotential we need to check that its third order derivatives coincide with the tensor $\mathbf{c}$ (5.34). We shall first prove that the second derivatives have the form (5.36) and then differentiate them with respect to a flat coordinate ξ^C .

The first differentiation of F_Φ with respect to a flat coordinate gives:

$$\partial_{\xi^A} F_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}, \Psi] + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \Phi_{\xi^A}]\;. \tag{5.37}$$

The first term in the right side of (5.37) equals $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\Psi]$ (see (5.25)). Consider the second term. From expansions (5.15) of the multivalued differential Ψ and its integrals and transformations (5.16)-(5.18) over basis cycles we know that the coefficients for Ψ which enter formula (5.24) for the pairing are nothing but the flat coordinates of $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$. Therefore, writing explicitly the singular part in expansions (5.15) and using also (5.16) - (5.18), we have for the second term in (5.37):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \Phi_{\xi^A}] = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \Bigg(& v^i(1-\delta_{i0})\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} t^{i;\alpha}\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + \omega^i \mathrm{I}_{\omega^i}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] \\
&+\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{\omega^i}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}_{v^0}[\Phi_{\xi^A}]}{n_0+1} - \frac{\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[\Phi_{\xi^A}]}{n_i+1}\right) + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{\omega^i}}\mathrm{I}_{\omega^i}[\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)}] - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{v^i}}\mathrm{I}_{v^i}[\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)}] \\
&- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}\frac{\alpha(n_i+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}}\mathrm{I}_{t^{i;\alpha}}[\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)}]\Bigg) \\
+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\Bigg(& v^{\bar i}(1-\delta_{i0})\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar i}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}\mathrm{I}_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + \omega^{\bar i}\mathrm{I}_{\omega^{\bar i}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] \\
&+\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{\omega^{\bar i}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar 0}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}]}{n_0+1} - \frac{\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar i}}[\Phi_{\xi^A}]}{n_i+1}\right) + \delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{\omega^{\bar i}}}\mathrm{I}_{\omega^{\bar i}}[\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}] - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{v^{\bar i}}}\mathrm{I}_{v^{\bar i}}[\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}] \\
&- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}\frac{\alpha(n_i+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}}}\mathrm{I}_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}[\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}]\Bigg) \\
+\sum_{k=1}\Bigg(& r^k\mathrm{I}_{r^k}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + u^k\mathrm{I}_{u^k}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + s^k\mathrm{I}_{s^k}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + t^k\mathrm{I}_{t^k}[\Phi_{\xi^A}] + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{r^k}}\mathrm{I}_{r^k}[\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)}+\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}] \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{u^k}}\mathrm{I}_{u^k}[\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(1,0)} + \bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}] + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{s^k}}\oint_{b_k}\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{t^k}}\oint_{a_k}\bar\lambda\Phi_{\xi^A(0,1)}\Bigg)\;.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Here the Kronecker symbol, for example, $\delta_{\Phi,\Phi_{\omega^i}}$ is equal to one if the primary differential Φ (which defines the metric $\mathbf{ds^2_\Phi}$ and the differential Ψ) is Φ_{ω^i} .

Suppose the primary differential Φ_{ξ^A} is of the types $1,\ 3,\ 5$, i.e. suppose $\xi^A \in \{t^{i;\alpha},\ v^i,\ \omega^i\}$. Then $\Phi_{\xi^A}(P) = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\Omega(P,Q) + B(\bar P, Q)]$. In this case the operation I_{ξ^A} commutes with all the others (see Theorem 10). Therefore we can rewrite (5.38) as an action of I_{ξ^A} on some differential which depends on $\lambda(Q)$ only (and does not depend on $\bar\lambda(Q)$): $\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \Phi_{\xi^A}] = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q)]$. Analogously, we find that for primary differentials of the types $2,\ 4,\ 6$, when $\xi^A \in \{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}},\ v^{\bar i},\ \omega^{\bar i}\}$, the right-hand side in (5.38) is equal to the action of I_{ξ^A} on a differential depending only on $\bar\lambda(Q)$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \Phi_{\xi^A}] = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)]$. Examining the properties of the differential $\tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q) + \tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)$ such as singularities, behaviour under analytic continuation along cycles $\{a_k, b_k\}$ and integrals over these cycles, we obtain with the help of Lemma 2: $\Psi(Q) = \tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q) + \tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)$, and therefore $\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q) = \tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q)$, $\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q) = \tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)$. Hence, for primary differentials of the types $1-6$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \Phi_{\xi^A}] = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\Psi]\;. \tag{5.39}$$

Similarly, for differentials Φ_{r^k} and Φ_{u^k} , we get

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi,\Phi_{r^k}] = -\oint_{a_k}\lambda(Q)\tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q) - \oint_{a_k}\bar\lambda(Q)\tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)\ ,$$
$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi,\Phi_{u^k}] = -\oint_{b_k}\lambda(Q)\tilde\Psi_{(1,0)}(Q) - \oint_{b_k}\bar\lambda(Q)\tilde\Psi_{(0,1)}(Q)\ ,$$

which proves that (5.39) also holds for $\xi^A\in\{r^k,\ u^k\}$.

Formula (5.39) changes for the primary differentials Φ_{s^k} and Φ_{t^k} : the additional terms appear due to non-commutativity of the corresponding operations (Theorem 10):

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi,\Phi_{s^k}] = \mathrm{I}_{s^k}[\Psi] - \frac{t^k}{2\pi i}\ ;\qquad \mathcal{F}[\Psi,\Phi_{t^k}] = \mathrm{I}_{t^k}[\Psi] + \frac{s^k}{2\pi i}\ .$$

Coming back to the differentiation (5.37) of the function F_Φ , we have

$$\partial_{\xi^A}F_\Phi = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A},\Psi] - \delta_{\xi^A,s^k}\frac{t^k}{4\pi i} + \delta_{\xi^A,t^k}\frac{s^k}{4\pi i}\ . \tag{5.40}$$

Note that the contribution of the primary differential Φ_{ξ^A} into the pairing $\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A},\Psi]$ does not depend on coordinates. Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 9, the differentiation of (5.40) with respect to ξ^B gives the expression (5.36) for second derivatives of the function F_Φ .

To find third derivatives of F_Φ we differentiate (5.36) with respect to a flat coordinate ξ^C :

$$\partial_{\xi^C}\partial_{\xi^B}\partial_{\xi^A}F_\Phi = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\xi^A}\ ,\ \partial_{\xi^C}\Phi_{\xi^B}] = \mathrm{I}_{\xi^A}[\partial_{\xi^C}\Phi_{\xi^B}]\ . \tag{5.41}$$

Then we express the vector ∂_{ξ^C} via canonical tangent vectors $\{\partial_{\lambda_i}\}$ as in (5.28) and use formulas from Lemma 3 for derivatives of primary differentials. Analogously to the computation (5.31) we find that derivatives (5.41) are given by the right-hand side of (5.34), i.e. equal to the 3-tensor $\mathbf{c}(\partial_{\xi^C},\partial_{\xi^B},\partial_{\xi^A})$. □

Thus, by proving that the function F_Φ given by (5.35) is a prepotential (see Definition 4) we completed the construction of Frobenius manifold corresponding to the primary differential Φ on the space $\widehat{M}_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$. Let us denote this manifold by $\widehat{M}^\Phi = \widehat{M}^\Phi_{g;n_0,\dots,n_m}$.

5.4 Quasihomogeneity

Now we shall show that the prepotential F_Φ (5.35) is a quasihomogeneous function of flat coordinates (see (2.1)). According to Theorem 1, the prepotential satisfies

$$E(F_\Phi) = \nu_F F_\Phi + \text{quadratic terms}\ . \tag{5.42}$$

In the next proposition we prove that the vector field E has the form (2.2), i.e.

$$E = \sum_A \nu_A\xi^A\partial_{\xi^A}\ , \tag{5.43}$$

and compute the coefficients $\{\nu_A\}$.

Proposition 11 *In flat coordinates $\{\xi^A\}$ of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_\Phi$, the Euler vector field (5.3) has the form (5.43) (and therefore is covariantly linear) with coefficients $\{\nu_A\}$ depending on the choice of a primary differential Φ as follows:*

- *if* $\Phi = \Phi_{t^{i_o;\alpha}}$ *or* $\Phi = \Phi_{t^{\overline{i_o;\alpha}}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}\left(t^{i;\alpha}\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}\partial_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1} - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1}(v^i\partial_{v^i} + v^{\bar{i}}\partial_{v^{\bar{i}}}) + (1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1})(\omega^i\partial_{\omega^i} + \omega^{\bar{i}}\partial_{\omega^{\bar{i}}})\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1}(r^k\partial_{r^k} + u^k\partial_{u^k}) + (1 + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1})(s^k\partial_{s^k} + t^k\partial_{t^k})\right)$$

- *if* $\Phi = \Phi_{v^{i_o}}$, $\Phi = \Phi_{v^{\bar{i}_o}}$, $\Phi = \Phi_{r^{k_o}}$ *or* $\Phi = \Phi_{u^{k_o}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}(2 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1})(t^{i;\alpha}\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}\partial_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(v^i\partial_{v^i} + v^{\bar{i}}\partial_{v^{\bar{i}}} + 2(\omega^i\partial_{\omega^i} + \omega^{\bar{i}}\partial_{\omega^{\bar{i}}})t\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(r^k\partial_{r^k} + u^k\partial_{u^k} + 2(s^k\partial_{s^k} + t^k\partial_{t^k})\right)$$

- *if* $\Phi = \Phi_{\omega^{i_o}}$, $\Phi = \Phi_{\omega^{\bar{i}_o}}$, $\Phi = \Phi_{s^{k_o}}$ *or* $\Phi = \Phi_{t^{k_o}}$ *then*

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1})(t^{i;\alpha}\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}\partial_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\omega^i\partial_{\omega^i} + \omega^{\bar{i}}\partial_{\omega^{\bar{i}}}) + \sum_{k=1}^{g}(s^k\partial_{s^k} + t^k\partial_{t^k})\ .$$

Proof. Let us compute the action of the Euler vector field on a flat coordinate ξ^A . Consider again the biholomorphic map $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\epsilon$ defined by the transformation $P \mapsto P^\epsilon$ on $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\lambda(P^\epsilon) = \lambda(P)(1+\epsilon)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, performed on every sheet of the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$. Since the kernels Ω and B are invariant under this map, the primary differentials transform as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}} : & \Phi^\epsilon(P^\epsilon) = (1+\epsilon)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}}\Phi(P) \\ \text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{v^i},\ \Phi = \Phi_{v^{\bar{i}}},\ \Phi = \Phi_{r^k} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{u^k} : & \Phi^\epsilon(P^\epsilon) = (1+\epsilon)\Phi(P) \\ \text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{\omega^i},\ \Phi = \Phi_{\omega^{\bar{i}}},\ \Phi = \Phi_{s^k} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{t^k} : & \Phi^\epsilon(P^\epsilon) = \Phi(P)\ , \end{array}$$

where Φ^ϵ is the corresponding differential on the covering $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\epsilon$.

Let us choose, for example, the primary differential $\Phi_{t^{i_o;\alpha}}$. Flat coordinates of the metric $\mathbf{ds}^2_{\Phi_{t^{i_o;\alpha}}}$ are functions of $\{\lambda_j\}$ and $\{\bar{\lambda}_j\}$ only. If we consider corresponding functions on $\mathcal{L}^\epsilon$ and differentiate them with respect to ϵ at $\epsilon = 0$, we get the action of the vector field E (5.3) on the flat coordinates:

$$E(t^{i;\alpha}) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\frac{n_i+1}{\alpha - n_i - 1}\operatorname*{res}_{\infty^i}(\lambda(P^\epsilon))^{\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1}}\Phi^\epsilon_{t^{i_o;\alpha}{}_{(1,0)}}(P^\epsilon)$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1}}t^{i;\alpha} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1} + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1})t^{i;\alpha}.$$

Therefore the vector field E depends on the coordinate $t^{i;\alpha}$ as $E = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{n_i+1} + \frac{\alpha}{n_{i_o}+1})t^{i;\alpha}\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} + \ldots$. Similarly we compute the dependence on the other flat coordinates. □

The action (5.42) of the Euler field (5.43) on the prepotential F_Φ is equivalent to the condition of quasihomogeneity for F_Φ , i.e. $F_\Phi(\kappa^{\nu_1}\xi^1, \ldots, \kappa^{\nu_{2L}}\xi^{2L}) = \kappa^{\nu_F}F_\Phi(\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^{2L}) +$ *quadratic terms* with the coefficients of quasihomogeneity $\{\nu_A\}$ computed in Proposition 11. As for the coefficient ν_F , the proof of Theorem 1 implies that $\nu_F = 3-\nu$, where the charge ν of a Frobenius manifold was computed in Proposition 7. Thus, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}} : & \nu = 1 - \dfrac{2\alpha}{n_i+1} & \nu_F = \dfrac{2\alpha}{n_i+1} + 2 \\
\text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{v^i},\ \Phi = \Phi_{v^{\bar{i}}},\ \Phi = \Phi_{r^k} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{u^k} : & \nu = -1 & \nu_F = 4 \\
\text{for } \Phi = \Phi_{\omega^i},\ \Phi = \Phi_{\omega^{\bar{i}}},\ \Phi = \Phi_{s^k} \text{ or } \Phi = \Phi_{t^k} : & \nu = 1 & \nu_F = 2\ .
\end{array}$$

Remark **6** The described construction also holds for the differential Φ being a linear combination of the primary differentials which correspond to the same charge ν . In other words, the differential Φ which defines a Frobenius structure can be one of the following:

1. $\Phi = c_{i;\alpha}\Phi_{t^{i;\alpha}} + c_{\overline{i;\alpha}}\Phi_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}$ for some pair $(i;\alpha)$: $i \in \{0,\dots,m\}$, $\alpha \in \{1,\dots,n_i-1\}$,

2. $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\kappa_i\Phi_{v^i} + \kappa_{\bar{i}}\Phi_{v^{\bar{i}}}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\sigma_k\Phi_{r^k} + \rho_k\Phi_{u^k}\right)$,

3. $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\kappa_i\Phi_{\omega^i} + \kappa_{\bar{i}}\Phi_{\omega^{\bar{i}}}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\sigma_k\Phi_{s^k} + \rho_k\Phi_{t^k}\right)$,

where the coefficients do not depend on a point of the Hurwitz space. The unit vector fields for the structures defined by these combinations, respectively, are given by:

1. $\mathbf{e} = -c_{i;\alpha}\partial_{t^{i;\alpha}} - c_{\overline{i;\alpha}}\partial_{t^{\overline{i;\alpha}}}$ for some pair $(i;\alpha)$: $i = 0,\dots,m$, $\alpha = 1,\dots,n_i-1$

2. $\mathbf{e} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\kappa_i\partial_{v^i} + \kappa_{\bar{i}}\partial_{v^{\bar{i}}}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\sigma_k\partial_{r^k} + \rho_k\partial_{u^k}\right)$

3. $\mathbf{e} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\kappa_i\partial_{\omega^i} + \kappa_{\bar{i}}\partial_{\omega^{\bar{i}}}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\sigma_k\partial_{s^k} + \rho_k\partial_{t^k}\right)$.

In each case, by a linear change of variables, the field $\mathbf{e}$ can be made equal to ∂_{ξ^1} for some new variable ξ^1 . This change of variables does not affect the quasihomogeneity of the prepotential since the flat coordinates which enter each of the three combinations have equal coefficients of quasihomogeneity (see Proposition 11).

6 G-function of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds

The G-function is a solution to the Getzler system of linear differential equations, which was derived in [9] (see also [6]). The system is defined on an arbitrary semisimple Frobenius manifold M .

It was proven in [6] that the Getzler system has unique, up to an additive constant, solution G which satisfies the quasihomogeneity condition

$$E(G) = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{A=1}^{n}\left(1-\nu_A-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\nu n}{48}\ ,$$

with a constant in the left side: ν is the charge, n is the dimension of the Frobenius manifold; $\{\nu_A\}$ are the quasihomogeneity coefficients (2.1). In [6] the following formula (which proves the conjecture of A. Givental [10]) for this quasihomogeneous solution was derived:

$$G = \log \frac{\tau_{\rm I}}{J^{1/24}} \ , \tag{6.1}$$

where J is the Jacobian of transformation from canonical to the flat coordinates, $J = \det\left(\frac{\partial t^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_i}\right)$; and $\tau_{\rm I}$ is the isomonodromic tau-function of the Frobenius manifold defined by

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_{\rm I}}{\partial \lambda_i} = H_i := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j\neq i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{ij}^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, n \ . \tag{6.2}$$

The function G (6.1) for the Frobenius manifold $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\phi_s}$ was computed in [6]. In [12, 13] expression (6.1) was computed for Dubrovin's Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces in arbitrary genus. Theorem 12 below summarizes the main results of papers [12] and [13].

Denote by S the following term in asymptotics of the bidifferential $W(P,Q)$ (3.2) near the diagonal $P \sim Q$:

$$W(P,Q) \underset{Q\sim P}{=} \left(\frac{1}{(x(P)-x(Q))^2} + S(x(P)) + o(1) \right) dx(P)dx(Q)$$

($6S(x(P))$ is called the Bergman projective connection [8]). By S_i we denote the value of S at the ramification point P_i taken with respect to the local parameter $x_i(P) = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_i}$:

$$S_i = S(x_i)|_{x_i=0} \ . \tag{6.3}$$

Since the singular part of the bidifferential W in a neighbourhood of the point P_i does not depend on coordinates $\{\lambda_j\}$, the Rauch variational formulas (3.4) imply

$$\frac{\partial S_i}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2} W^2(P_i, P_j) \ .$$

The symmetry of this expression provides compatibility for the following system of differential equations which defines the Bergman tau-function τ_W :

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_W}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\frac{1}{2} S_i \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, n \ .$$

Theorem 12 *The isomonodromic tau-function $\tau_{\rm I}$ (6.2) for a holomorphic Frobenius structure $\widehat{M}^{\phi}$ is related to the Bergman tau-function τ_W as follows ([13]):*

$$\tau_{\rm I} = (\tau_W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ , \tag{6.4}$$

where τ_W is given by the following expression independent of the points P and Q ([12]):

$$\tau_W = \mathcal{Q}^{2/3} \prod_{k,l=1\ k<l}^{L+m+1} [E(D_k, D_l)]^{d_k d_l / 6} \tag{6.5}$$

and

- *$\mathcal{Q}$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{Q} = [d\lambda(P)]^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \, \mathcal{C}(P) \prod_{k=1}^{L+m+1} [E(P, D_k)]^{\frac{(1-g)d_k}{2}}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(P)$ is the following multivalued $g(1-g)/2$-differential on $\mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{C}(P) = \frac{1}{\det_{1\leq \alpha,\beta \leq g} \|\omega_\beta^{(\alpha-1)}(P)\|} \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_g=1}^{g} \frac{\partial^g \theta(K^P)}{\partial z_{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_{\alpha_g}} \omega_{\alpha_1}(P) \dots \omega_{\alpha_g}(P)$$

- *$\sum_{k=1}^{L+m+1} d_k D_k$ is the divisor $(d\lambda)$ of the differential $d\lambda(P)$, i.e. $D_l = P_l$, $d_l = 1$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$ and $D_{L+i+1} = \infty^i$, $d_{L+i+1} = -(n_i+1)$, $i = 0, \dots, m$. As before, we evaluate a differential at the points of the divisor $(d\lambda)$ with respect to the standard local parameters: $x_j = \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, L$ and $x_{L+1+i} = \lambda^{-1/(n_i+1)}$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$*
- *$\theta(z|\mathbb{B})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$ is the theta-function; $E(P, Q)$ is the prime form; $E(D_k, P)$ stands for $E(Q, P)\sqrt{dx_k(Q)}|_{Q=D_k}$*
- *K^P is the vector of Riemann constants; the fundamental domain $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is chosen so that the Abel map of the divisor $(d\lambda)$ is given by $\mathcal{A}((d\lambda)) = -2K^P$.*

6.1 G-function for manifolds $\widehat{M}^\phi$

Theorem 12 gives the numerator of expression (6.1) for the G-function of holomorphic Frobenius structures $\widehat{M}^\phi$ on Hurwitz spaces described in Section 4. For the denominator we have (see [6], [13])

$$J = \frac{1}{2^{L/2}} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \phi(P_i) ,$$

where ϕ is the primary differential from the list of Theorem 2 which corresponds to the Frobenius structure $\widehat{M}^\phi$.

Summarizing above formulas, we get the following expression for the G-function of the Frobenius manifold $\widehat{M}^\phi$:

$$G = -\frac{1}{2} \log \tau_W - \frac{1}{24} \log \prod_{i=1}^{L} \phi(P_i) + \text{const} , \tag{6.6}$$

τ_W is given by (6.5).

6.2 G-function for "real doubles" $\widehat{M}^\Phi$

For the Frobenius structures with canonical coordinates $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L; \bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_L\}$, corresponding to the primary differentials Φ from Section 5, the Jacobian of transformation between canonical and flat coordinates is given by

$$J = \det\left(\frac{\partial \xi^A}{\partial \lambda_i} \Big| \frac{\partial \xi^A}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i}\right) = \frac{1}{2^L} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i) \Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i) . \tag{6.7}$$

The definition (6.2) of the isomonodromic tau-function in this case becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log \tau_{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial \lambda_i} &= H_i := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i, j=1}^{L} \beta_{ij}^2(\lambda_i-\lambda_j) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_{i\bar{j}}^2(\lambda_i-\bar{\lambda}_j) \\
\frac{\partial \log \tau_{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i} &= H_{\bar{i}} := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_{\bar{i}j}^2(\bar{\lambda}_i-\lambda_j) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i, j=1}^{L} \beta_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^2(\bar{\lambda}_i-\bar{\lambda}_j)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.8}
$$

Analogously to relation (6.4) one can prove (see [13] and Proposition 12 below) that the function τ_{I} is $-1/2$ power of the function τ_Ω , which is defined by the Schiffer kernel $\Omega(P,Q)$ (3.6) as follows. The asymptotics of the kernel $\Omega(P,Q)$ near the diagonal is

$$
\Omega(P,Q) \underset{Q\sim P}{=} \left(\frac{1}{(x(P)-x(Q))^2} + S_\Omega(x(P)) + o(1)\right) dx(P)dx(Q)\ .
$$

Denote by Ω_i the evaluation of the term $S_\Omega(x)$ at the ramification point P_i with respect to the local parameter $x_i=\sqrt{\lambda-\lambda_i}$:

$$
\Omega_i = (S_\Omega(x_i))\,|_{x_i=0} = S_i + \Sigma_i\ ,
$$

where S_i is the same as in (6.3) and Σ_i is given by $\Sigma_i = -\pi\sum_{k,l=1}^{g}(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})^{-1}_{kl}\omega_k(P_i)\omega_l(P_i)$. The differentiation formulas (3.11) for the kernels Ω and B imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial \lambda_j} &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2(P_i,P_j) = 2\beta_{ij}^2\ , & \frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_j} &= \frac{1}{2}B^2(\bar{P}_j,P_i) = 2\beta_{i\bar{j}}^2\ , \\
\frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}_i}{\partial \lambda_j} &= \frac{1}{2}B^2(\bar{P}_i,P_j) = 2\beta_{\bar{i}j}^2\ , & \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}_i}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_j} &= \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Omega^2(P_i,P_j)} = 2\beta_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^2\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.9}
$$

which allows the following definition of the tau-function τ_Ω :

$$
\frac{\partial \log \tau_\Omega}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega_i\ , \qquad \frac{\partial \log \tau_\Omega}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Omega}_i\ .
\tag{6.10}
$$

From Rauch variational formulas (3.12) we find

$$
\frac{\partial \log \det(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_i\ , \qquad \frac{\partial \log \det(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_i} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Sigma}_i\ ,
$$

and therefore

$$
\tau_\Omega = \mathrm{const}\ |\tau_W|^2\det(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B})\ .
\tag{6.11}
$$

Remark **7** This tau-function coincides with an appropriately regularized ratio of determinant of Laplacian on $\mathcal{L}$ and the volume of $\mathcal{L}$ in the singular metric $|d\lambda|^2$ (see [3, 12, 16]).

Now we are able to compute the function τ_{I} (6.8) by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 12 *The isomonodromic tau-function τ_{I} for a Frobenius structure with canonical coordinates $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_L;\bar{\lambda}_1,\dots,\bar{\lambda}_L\}$ on the Hurwitz space is related to the function τ_Ω (6.10) by*

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{I}} = (\tau_\Omega)^{-1/2}\ .
\tag{6.12}
$$

Proof. Using the relation (6.9) between derivatives of Ω_i and rotation coefficients β_{ij} , we write for the Hamiltonians H_i (6.8):

$$H_i = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_i \left(\sum_{j\neq i, j=1}^{L} \partial_{\lambda_j}\Omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^{L} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_j}\Omega_i \right) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j\neq i, j=1}^{L} \lambda_j \partial_{\lambda_j}\Omega_i - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \bar{\lambda}_j \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_j}\Omega_i \; . \tag{6.13}$$

For the quantities Ω_i one can prove the relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}_j} \right) \Omega_i = 0 \; , \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_j} + \bar{\lambda}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}_j} \right) \Omega_i = -\Omega_i \; . \tag{6.14}$$

To prove (6.14) we use the invariance of the Schiffer kernel $\Omega(P, Q)$ under two biholomorphic maps of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^{\delta}$ and $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^{\epsilon}$ given by transformations $\lambda \to \lambda + \delta$ and $\lambda \to \lambda(1+\epsilon)$ performed simultaneously on all sheets of the covering $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ (see proofs of Propositions 2 and 3).

Substitution of (6.14) into (6.13) yields

$$H_i = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\lambda_j \partial_{\lambda_j}\Omega_i + \bar{\lambda}_j \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_j}\Omega_i \right) = \frac{1}{4}\Omega_i \; .$$

Similarly, we get for $H_{\bar{i}}$ the relation: $H_{\bar{i}} = \frac{1}{4}\overline{\Omega}_i$. □

Formulas (6.7), (6.11) and (6.12) give the expression for the function G (6.1), i.e. we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem 13 *The G-function of the Frobenius manifold $\widehat{M}^{\Phi}$ is given by*

$$G = -\frac{1}{2}\log\left\{ \, |\tau_W|^2 \det(\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{B}) \right\} - \frac{1}{24}\log\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{L} \Phi_{(1,0)}(P_i)\Phi_{(0,1)}(P_i) \right\} + \mathrm{const} \; , \tag{6.15}$$

where the Bergman tau-function τ_W is given by (6.5).

7 Examples in genus one

Since the described construction in the case of genus zero does not lead to new structures, the simplest examples we can compute are the Frobenius structures in genus one. The simplest Hurwitz space in genus one is $M_{1;1}$. We shall compute the prepotentials of Frobenius manifolds $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\phi_s}$ and $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_s}$, $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_t}$, $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$ (for a nonzero constant $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$) given by formulas (4.19) and (5.35), respectively, and the corresponding G-functions (6.6) and (6.15).

The Riemann surface of genus one can be represented as a quotient $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}/\{2\omega, 2\omega'\}$, where $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{C}$. The space $M_{1;1}$ consists of the genus one two-fold coverings of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with simple branch points, one of them being at infinity. These coverings can be defined by the function

$$\lambda(\varsigma) = \wp(\varsigma) + c \; , \tag{7.1}$$

where $\wp$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ and c is a constant with respect to ς .

We denote by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ the finite branch points of the coverings (7.1) and consider them as local coordinates on the space $\widehat{M}_{1;1}$.

7.1 Holomorphic Frobenius structure $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\phi_s}$

The primary differential ϕ_s is the holomorphic normalized differential (see (3.3)):

$$\phi(\varsigma) = \phi_s(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_b W(\varsigma, \tilde{\varsigma}). \tag{7.2}$$

It can be expressed as follows via λ and ς :

$$\phi(\lambda(\varsigma)) = \frac{1}{4\omega} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{(\lambda-\lambda_1)(\lambda-\lambda_2)(\lambda-\lambda_3)}} \qquad\qquad \phi(\varsigma) = \frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} \ . \tag{7.3}$$

The expansion of multivalued differential $pd\lambda = \left(\int_0^\varsigma \phi\right) d\lambda$ at infinity with respect to the local parameter $z = \lambda^{-1/2}$ is given by

$$pd\lambda = \frac{1}{2\omega}\left(\frac{2}{z^2} + c + \mathcal{O}(z)\right) dz \ .$$

The Darboux-Egoroff metric (4.8) corresponding to our choice of primary differential ϕ has in canonical coordinates $\{\lambda_i\}$ the form

$$\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\phi_s} = \frac{1}{8\omega^2}\left\{\frac{(d\lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_3-\lambda_1)(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)}\right\} \ . \tag{7.4}$$

The set of flat coordinates of this metric is

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= s = -\oint_a \lambda\phi_s = -\frac{1}{2\omega}\int_x^{x+2\omega} (\wp(\varsigma) + c) d\varsigma = -\frac{\pi i}{4\omega^2}\gamma - c \\ t_2 &:= t^{0;1} = \operatorname*{res}_{\varsigma=0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} pd\lambda = \frac{1}{\omega} \\ t_3 &:= r = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_b \phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\omega'}{\omega} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where we denote by γ the following function of period $\mu = 2\pi i t_3$ of the torus $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\gamma(\mu) = \frac{1}{3\pi i}\frac{\theta_1'''(0;\mu)}{\theta_1'(0;\mu)} \ . \tag{7.6}$$

This function satisfies the Chazy equation (see for example [5]):

$$\gamma''' = 6\gamma\gamma'' - 9\gamma'^{\,2} \ . \tag{7.7}$$

The metric (7.4) in coordinates (7.5) is constant and has the form:

$$\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\phi_s} = \frac{1}{2}(dt_2)^2 - 2dt_1 dt_3 \ .$$

The prepotential (4.19) (it was computed in [1, 5]) of the Frobenius structure $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\phi_s}$ is given by

$$F_{\phi_s} = -\frac{1}{4}t_1 t_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^2 t_3 - \frac{\pi i}{32}\, t_2^4\, \gamma(2\pi i t_3) \ .$$

This function is quasihomogeneous, i.e. the following relation

$$F_{\phi_s}(\kappa^{\nu_1}t_1, \kappa^{\nu_2}t_2, \kappa^{\nu_3}t_3) = \kappa^{\nu_F} F_{\phi_s}(t_1, t_2, t_3) \tag{7.8}$$

holds for any $\kappa \neq 0$ and the quasihomogeneity factors

$$\nu_1 = 1 \, , \qquad \nu_2 = \frac{1}{2} \, , \qquad \nu_3 = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \nu_F = 2 \, . \tag{7.9}$$

The Euler vector field $E = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i}$ in flat coordinates has the form:

$$E = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \nu_\alpha t_\alpha \partial_{t_\alpha} = t_1 \partial_{t_1} + \frac{1}{2} t_2 \partial_{t_2} \; ;$$

and the quasihomogeneity (7.8), (7.9) can be written as $E(F_{\phi_s}(t_1, t_2, t_3)) = 2F_{\phi_s}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$.

The corresponding G-function was computed in [6] :

$$G = -\log \left\{ \eta(2\pi i t_3)(t_2)^{\frac{1}{8}} \right\} + \text{const} \, ,$$

where $\eta(\mu)$ is the Dedekind eta-function: $\eta(\mu) = (\theta_1'(0))^{1/3}$. (See [12] for the function τ_W in genus one.)

7.2 "Real doubles" in genus one

We consider the same coverings $(\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ with $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}/\{2\omega, 2\omega'\}$, and the function λ given by (7.1) . The coverings have simple branch points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and ∞ . The set of such coverings is considered now as a space with local coordinates $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3; \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \bar{\lambda}_3\}$.

7.2.1 The manifold $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_s}$

The primary differential $\Phi = \Phi_s$ has the form ($\mu = \omega'/\omega$ is the period of the torus $\mathcal{L}$):

$$\Phi(\varsigma) = \Phi_s(\varsigma) = \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} + \frac{\mu}{\mu - \bar{\mu}} \frac{\overline{d\varsigma}}{2\bar{\omega}} \, . \tag{7.10}$$

The corresponding Darboux-Egoroff metric (5.5) is given by

$$\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi_s} = \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\omega^2} \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \right)^2 \left(\frac{(d\lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)} \right) \right\} \, . \tag{7.11}$$

The flat coordinates of this metric are

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1 &:= s = \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\mu - \bar{\mu}} \int_x^{x+2\omega} (\wp(\varsigma) + c) \frac{d\varsigma}{\omega} \right\} & t_4 &:= t = \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\mu - \bar{\mu}} \int_x^{x+2\omega'} (\wp(\varsigma) + c) \frac{d\varsigma}{\omega} \right\} \\
t_2 &:= t^{0;1} = \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \frac{1}{\omega} & t_5 &:= t^{\overline{0;1}} = \bar{t}_2 \\
t_3 &:= r = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\mu\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu} & t_6 &:= u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Note that $\mu = t_3/t_6$, $\bar{\mu} = 2\pi i t_3/(2\pi i t_6 - 1)$ and for the solution (7.6) to the Chazy equation we have $\overline{\gamma(\mu)} = -\gamma(-\bar{\mu})$.

The metric (7.11) in the flat coordinates has the form

$$\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi_s} = \frac{1}{2}(dt_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(dt_5)^2 - 2dt_1 dt_3 + 2dt_4 dt_6 \ .$$

The corresponding prepotential (5.35) is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Phi_s} = &-\frac{1}{4}t_1 t_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}t_1 t_5^2 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^2 t_3 - \frac{1}{2}t_1 t_4 (2t_6 - \frac{1}{2\pi i}) \\ &+ t_3^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{4}t_2^2 t_4 (t_6 - \frac{1}{2\pi i}) + \frac{1}{4}t_4 t_5^2 t_6 + \frac{1}{2}t_4^2 t_6 (t_6 - \frac{1}{2\pi i}) + \frac{1}{16}t_2^2 t_5^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32}t_2^4\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi i}t_6^{-2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right) + t_3^{-1} - \frac{1}{2\pi i}t_3^{-1}t_6^{-1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32}t_5^4\left(-\frac{\pi i}{(2\pi i t_6 - 1)^2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{2\pi i t_3}{1 - 2\pi i t_6}\right) + t_3^{-1} + t_3^{-1}(2\pi i t_6 - 1)^{-1}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

Note that the coordinates $t_1,\ t_3,\ t_4$ are real, t_2 and t_5 are complex conjugates of each other and t_6 has a constant imaginary part, $\overline{t_6} = t_6 - 1/2\pi i$. In these coordinates, the prepotential F_{Φ_s} is a real-valued function. However, F_{Φ_s} also satisfies the WDVV system when considered as a function of six complex coordinates; in that case, F_{Φ_s} is not real.

This function is quasihomogeneous: the relation $F_{\Phi_s}(\kappa^{\nu_1}t_1, \ldots, \kappa^{\nu_6}t_6) = \kappa^{\nu_F}F_{\Phi_s}(t_1, \ldots, t_6)$ holds for any $\kappa \neq 0$ and the quasihomogeneity factors

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_1 = 1 \ , \qquad \nu_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ , \qquad \nu_3 = 0 \ , \\ &\nu_4 = 1 \ , \qquad \nu_5 = \frac{1}{2} \ , \qquad \nu_6 = 0 \ , \qquad \nu_F = 2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

The Euler vector field $E = \sum_{i=1}^{3}(\lambda_i \partial_{\lambda_i} + \bar{\lambda}_i \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_i})$ has the following form in the flat coordinates:

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} \nu_\alpha t_\alpha \partial_{t_\alpha} = t_1\partial_{t_1} + \frac{1}{2}t_2\partial_{t_2} + t_4\partial_{t_4} + \frac{1}{2}t_5\partial_{t_5} \ ,$$

and the quasihomogeneity of F_{Φ_s} can be written as $E(F_{\Phi_s}(t_1, \ldots, t_6)) = 2F_{\Phi_s}(t_1, \ldots, t_6)$.

The corresponding G-function (6.15) (real-valued as a function of coordinates (7.12)) is given by

$$G = -\log\left\{\eta\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right)\eta\left(\frac{2\pi i t_3}{1 - 2\pi i t_6}\right)(t_2 t_5)^{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{2\pi i t_3}{t_6(2\pi i t_6 - 1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} + \text{const} \ .$$

Here we use the relation $\overline{\eta(\mu)} = \eta(-\bar{\mu})$ for the Dedekind η-function.

7.2.2 The manifold $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_t}$

The primary differential $\Phi = \Phi_t$ has the form ($\mu = \omega'/\omega$ is the period of torus):

$$\Phi(\varsigma) = \Phi_t(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\mu - \bar{\mu}}\frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} - \frac{1}{\mu - \bar{\mu}}\frac{\overline{d\varsigma}}{2\bar{\omega}} \ . \tag{7.15}$$

The corresponding Darboux-Egoroff metric (5.5) is given by

$$\mathbf{ds^2_{\Phi_t}} = \mathrm{Re}\left\{ \frac{1}{4\omega^2}\left(\frac{1}{\bar\mu-\mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{(d\lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_3-\lambda_1)(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)} \right) \right\} . \tag{7.16}$$

The flat coordinates of this metric are

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= t = \mathrm{Re}\left\{ \frac{1}{\bar\mu-\mu} \int_x^{x+2\omega'} (\wp(\varsigma)+c)\frac{d\varsigma}{\omega} \right\} & t_4 &:= s = \mathrm{Re}\left\{ \frac{1}{\bar\mu-\mu} \int_x^{x+2\omega} (\wp(\varsigma)+c)\frac{d\varsigma}{\omega} \right\} \\ t_2 &:= t^{0;1} = \frac{1}{\mu-\bar\mu}\frac{1}{\omega} & t_5 &:= t^{\overline{0;1}} = \bar t_2 \\ t_3 &:= r = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\mu}{\mu-\bar\mu} & t_6 &:= u = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\mu-\bar\mu} \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

In terms of these coordinates, the period of the torus and its conjugate can be expressed as: $\mu = t_3/t_6$ and $\bar\mu = (2\pi i t_3 - 1)/2\pi i t_6$.

The metric (7.16) in flat coordinates has the form:

$$\mathbf{ds^2_{\Phi_t}} = \frac{1}{2}(dt_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(dt_5)^2 + 2dt_1dt_6 - 2dt_3dt_4 \, .$$

The corresponding prepotential (5.35) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Phi_t} = &-\frac{1}{4}t_1t_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}t_1t_5^2 + \frac{1}{2}t_1t_4(2t_3 - \frac{1}{2\pi i}) - \frac{1}{2}t_1^2t_6 - \frac{1}{2}t_3(t_3 - \frac{1}{2\pi i})\frac{t_4^2}{t_6} - \frac{1}{16}\frac{t_2^2t_5^2}{t_6} \\ &- \frac{t_2^4}{32t_6} - \frac{1}{128\pi i}\frac{t_2^4}{t_6^2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right) + \frac{t_3t_4t_5^2}{4t_6} \\ &- \frac{t_5^4}{32t_6} - \frac{1}{128\pi i}\frac{t_5^4}{t_6^2}\,\gamma\left(\frac{1-2\pi i t_3}{2\pi i t_6}\right) + \frac{(t_3 - \frac{1}{2\pi i})t_4t_2^2}{4t_6} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

This function is also real if the coordinates are of the form (7.17): in this case $t_1,\ t_4,\ t_6$ are real, $t_2 = \bar t_5$, and t_3 has a constant imaginary part, namely, we have $\bar t_3 = t_3 - \frac{1}{2\pi i}$. Last two lines in (7.18) are complex conjugates of each other since for the function γ (7.6) we have $\overline{\gamma(\mu)} = -\gamma(-\bar\mu)$.

The function F_{Φ_t} (7.18) is quasihomogeneous. The quasihomogeneity factors $\{\nu_i\}$ and ν_F are the same as for the above example (the function F_{Φ_s}), they are given by (7.14).

The G-function for $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_t}$ (it is also real-valued as a function of coordinates (7.17)) is given by

$$G = -\log\left\{ \eta\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right)\eta\left(\frac{1-2\pi i t_3}{2\pi i t_6}\right)(t_2t_5)^{\frac{1}{8}}\,t_6^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} + \mathrm{const} \, ,$$

where, again, η is the Dedekind eta-function.

7.2.3 The manifold $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$

According to Remark 6 in the end of Section 5, there exists a Frobenius structure built from a linear combination of two primary differentials Φ_s and Φ_t . Here, we compute a prepotential which corresponds to the differential $\Phi = \Phi_s + \sigma\Phi_t$ for σ being a non-zero parameter.

We start with the differential

$$\Phi(\varsigma) = \Phi_s(\varsigma) + \sigma\Phi_t(\varsigma) = \frac{\bar{\mu}-\sigma}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} + \frac{\sigma-\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\frac{\overline{d\varsigma}}{2\bar{\omega}} \; .$$

The corresponding Darboux-Egoroff metric (5.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{8\omega^2}\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}-\sigma}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\right)^2 &\left(\frac{(d\lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)} + \frac{(d\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)}\right. \\ &+ \left.\frac{(d\lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_3-\lambda_1)(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)}\right) + \frac{1}{8\bar{\omega}^2}\left(\frac{\sigma-\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\right)^2\left(\frac{(d\bar{\lambda}_1)^2}{(\bar{\lambda}_1-\bar{\lambda}_2)(\bar{\lambda}_1-\bar{\lambda}_3)}\right. \\ &+ + \left.\frac{(d\bar{\lambda}_2)^2}{(\bar{\lambda}_2-\bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_2-\bar{\lambda}_3)} + \frac{(d\bar{\lambda}_3)^2}{(\bar{\lambda}_3-\bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda}_3-\bar{\lambda}_2)}\right) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

The flat coordinates t and s of the metric (7.19) are

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\bar{\mu}-\sigma}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\int_x^{x+2\omega'}(\wp(\varsigma)+c)\frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} + \frac{\sigma-\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\int_x^{x+2\omega'}\overline{(\wp(\varsigma)+c)}\frac{d\bar{\varsigma}}{2\bar{\omega}} \\ s &= \frac{\bar{\mu}-\sigma}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\int_x^{x+2\omega}(\wp(\varsigma)+c)\frac{d\varsigma}{2\omega} + \frac{\sigma-\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\int_x^{x+2\omega}\overline{(\wp(\varsigma)+c)}\frac{d\bar{\varsigma}}{2\bar{\omega}} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

We need to perform a linear change of variables in order to have the unit field $\mathbf{e}$ in the form $\mathbf{e} = -\partial_{t^1}$. After this change of variables, we get the following set of flat coordinates for the metric (7.19):

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= s + \sigma^{-1}t & t_4 &:= s - \sigma^{-1}t \\ t_2 &:= t^{0;1} = \frac{1}{\omega}\frac{\bar{\mu}-\sigma}{\bar{\mu}-\mu} & t_5 &:= t^{\overline{0;1}} = \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}}\frac{\sigma-\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu} \\ t_3 &:= r = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{(\bar{\mu}-\sigma)\mu}{\bar{\mu}-\mu} & t_6 &:= u = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\mu-\bar{\mu}} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

In the coordinates (7.21), the metric has the form:

$$\mathbf{ds}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}(dt_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(dt_5)^2 - dt_1dt_3 + \sigma dt_1dt_6 - dt_3dt_4 - \sigma dt_4dt_6 \; . \tag{7.22}$$

The period of the torus and its complex conjugate can be expressed in terms of the coordinates (7.21) as follows: $\mu = t_3/t_6$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\sigma - 2\pi i t_3)/(1-2\pi i t_6)$, respectively.

Then, the prepotential (5.35) is the following function of 6 variables:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t} = &-\frac{1}{64\pi i}\frac{t_2^4}{t_6^2}\gamma\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{16}\frac{t_5^4}{(2\pi i t_6-1)^2}\gamma\left(\frac{2\pi i t_3-\sigma}{1-2\pi i t_6}\right) - \frac{1}{8\pi i}\frac{t_2^2}{t_6}(t_1+t_4) \\ &- \frac{\sigma}{8\pi i}(t_1^2-t_4^2) + \frac{1}{8\pi i}\frac{t_3}{t_6}(t_1+t_4)^2 + \frac{\pi i}{2t_6(t_3-\sigma t_6)}\frac{1}{(2\pi i t_6-1)}\times \\ \times &\left(\frac{(t_2^2+t_5^2)t_6}{2} - \frac{t_2^2}{4\pi i} - (t_1+t_4)t_3t_6 + \frac{(t_1+t_4)t_3}{2\pi i} + \sigma(t_1-t_4)t_6^2 - \frac{\sigma(t_1-t_4)t_6}{2\pi i}\right)^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

In the limit $\sigma \to 0$, the metric (7.22) becomes singular and the function (7.23) does not satisfy the WDVV system. To obtain from (7.23) the prepotential F_{Φ_s} , corresponding to the case $\sigma = 0$, one has to rewrite $F_{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$ in terms of the original variables (7.20) and then put $\sigma = 0$.

The function $F_{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$ is quasihomogeneous. The quasihomogeneity factors $\{\nu_i\}$ and ν_F are given by (7.14).

The G-function for $\widehat{M}_{1;1}^{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$ is given by

$$G = -\log\left\{\eta\left(\frac{t_3}{t_6}\right)\eta\left(\frac{2\pi i t_3 - \sigma}{1-2\pi i t_6}\right)(t_2 t_5)^{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{t_3 - \sigma t_6}{t_6(1-2\pi i t_6)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} + \text{const} .$$

A computer check shows that functions F_{Φ_s} (7.13), F_{Φ_t} (7.18), and $F_{\Phi_s+\sigma\Phi_t}$ (7.23) indeed satisfy the WDVV system.

Acknowledgments I am grateful to D. Korotkin, A. Kokotov, M. Bertola and S. Natanzon for many useful discussions and to B. Dubrovin for important comments and pointing out some mistakes in an earlier version of this paper.

References

[1] Bertola, M., Frobenius manifolds structure on orbit space of Jacobi groups; Parts I and II, Diff. Geom. Appl. **13**, 19-41 and 213-233 (2000)

[2] Dijkgraaf, R., Verlinde, E., Verlinde, H., Nucl. Phys. **B 352**, 59 (1991); Notes on topological string theory and $2D$ quantum gravity, Preprint PUPT-1217, IASSNS-HEP-90/80, November 1990.

[3] D'Hoker, E., Phong, D.H., Functional determinants on Mandelstam diagrams, Comm. Math. Phys. **124**, no.4, 629-645 (1989)

[4] Dubrovin, B., Integrable systems and classification of 2-dimensional topological field theories, Integrable systems (Luminy, 1991), Progr. Math. **115**, 313-359, Birkhäuser Boston 1993

[5] Dubrovin, B., Geometry of $2D$ topological field theories, *Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups,* Montecatini Terme (1993), Lecture Notes in Math. **1620**, Springer, Berlin (1996)

Geometry and analytic theory of Frobenius manifolds, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. II, Berlin (1998)

[6] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y., Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation, Comm. Math. Phys. **198**, no. 2, 311–361 (1998)

[7] Dzhamay, A., Real-normalized Whitham hierarchies and the WDVV equations, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, no. 21, 1103–1130 (2000)

[8] Fay, J., Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces, Memoirs of the AMS, **96** no. 464, AMS (1992)

[9] Getzler, E., Intersection theory on $\overline{M}_{1,4}$ and elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, no. 4, 973–998 (1997)

[10] Givental, A., Elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants and the generalized mirror conjecture, Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), 107–155, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1998)

[11] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., A new hierarchy of integrable systems associated to Hurwitz spaces, math-ph/0112051

[12] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., Bergman tau-function on Hurwitz spaces and its applications, math-ph/0310008

[13] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., On G-function of Frobenius manifolds related to Hurwitz spaces, IMRN, no 7, 343-360 (2004)

[14] Manin, Yu., Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces, American Mathematical Society (1999)

[15] Rauch, H. E., Weierstrass points, branch points, and moduli of Riemann surfaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12**, 543-560 (1959)

[16] Sonoda, H., Functional determinants on punctured Riemann surfaces and their application to string theory, Nuclear Phys. B **294**, no.1, 157-192 (1987)

[17] Witten, E., On the structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity, Nucl. Phys. **B 340**, 281-332 (1990)